

## Patterns of Surgical Site Infections Among Post Operative Patients at Hoima Regional Referral Hospital

Kunihira Mark

Department of Medicine and Surgery, Kampala International University, Uganda.

---

### ABSTRACT

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are the second most common nosocomial infections after urinary tract infections. A study at Hoima Regional Referral Hospital (HRRH) found that 18.9% of patients developed SSIs, with the majority having undergone emergency surgeries. The majority were aged 30-59, males, married, with secondary education, and Anglicans. Symptoms were common for 3-7 days, with HIV as a co-morbidity, BMI 18.5-29.9, non-smokers, and did not take alcohol. Intra-operatively, most patients underwent general anesthesia, had dirty wounds, and had operations lasting 1 hour or less. The incidence rate of SSIs at HRRH is the highest in the country, with patients' factors and intra-operative events being associated with the development of SSIs. This highlights the need for facility-based and national target-specific interventions to curb and control this nosocomial infection.

Keywords: Surgical site infections, post operative patients, nosocomial infection

---

### INTRODUCTION

Surgical Site Infection (SSI) is a type of hospital-acquired infection (HAI) that arises following surgery and it is related to the surgical site [1]. Whilst surgical site infection (SSI) has been defined in various ways, the most widely used and accepted definition is the 1992 reclassification and definition by United States of America Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) which defined SSI as "an infection that occurs after surgery in the part of the body where the surgery took place within 30 days of an operative procedure or within one year if an implant is left in place [2, 3, 4].

SSIs are classified by The Centre for Disease Control (CDC), USA into superficial incisional SSI, deep incisional SSI, and organ/space SSI [5]. Incisional SSIs are divided into those involving skin and subcutaneous tissue (superficial incisional) and those involving deeper soft tissue of surgical incision (deep incisional SSIs). Organ/space SSIs involve any part of the anatomy other than incised body wall layers that were opened or manipulated during an operation [6, 7].

Prior to the introduction of aseptic practices in healthcare system, hospitals

were regarded as "gateways to deaths" and dreaded environment where patients care often got complicated by infections. However, following the introduction of aseptic techniques in the late 19th century, discovery of antibiotics, advances in sterilization/disinfection, environmental control measures and other recent advancements in technology, the burden of hospital acquired infections reduced significantly [8, 9, 10].

Despite the numerous preventive measures recommended for its reduction Surgical site infections (SSIs) are the second most common nosocomial (hospital-acquired) infections after urinary tract infections [5] and continue to occur among surgical patients with substantial increase in the cost of healthcare, prolonged hospitalization and jeopardized health outcomes. It is sometimes associated with considerable morbidity and occasional mortality. An approximate of 7-10 additional days on the length of hospital stay has been linked with SSI. Furthermore, an increased relative risk of death and readmission for patients with SSI compared to uninfected patients have been documented [11].

Kunihira

These patients incur higher cost because of longer hospitalizations, more nursing care, additional wound care, potential readmission to the hospital, and further surgical procedures [12, 13, 14, 15] For example in the United States, SSI is found to be a serious complication with an incidence of 2 to 5% in patients undergoing surgery complicating approximately 300,000 to 500,000 surgeries per year and costing the health-care system upward of \$1.6 billion [1].

The incidence of SSIs varies widely across countries and surgical procedures; however, it is estimated to occur in at least 2% of surgeries. In low- and middle-income countries (LMIC), SSI incidence may be approximately up to 4 times higher than in high-income countries. In sub-Saharan Africa, various study results showed that SSI rate is higher than in developed countries with incidences ranging from 11 to 18% [1].

These findings reflect a lack of adequate postoperative care and failure to maintain sterility during surgical procedures, inadequate infection control due to poor hygiene, resource and structural

www.iaajournals.org

constraints, and lack of awareness regarding nosocomial infections among the general population and furthermore technological advances in infection control like use of high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters in theaters to reduce bacterial loads are still lacking in the African setting and this in part may contribute to the high incidence of SSI. Therefore, the high standards of health care in the developed countries remain as the most credible explanation to this difference in the rates of infection observed [12].

SSIs are related to type of operation, wound classification, volume of blood loss, blood transfusion, ASA score before operation, risk index, operative duration, diabetes, use of a gastrointestinal or urinary catheter, postoperative drainage, preprocedural WBC, suggesting that all patients having any type of operative procedure should be monitored for potential triggers of SSI in routine clinical practice [16, 17] as well as obesity, altered nutrition, smoking, and neoplastic diseases, and advanced age [18].

## METHODOLOGY

### Study design

The research used a descriptive prospective cohort study design where the participants were followed up for a maximum period of 30 days post operatively [19]. It was during this time that the outcome of interest was documented.

### Study area

The study was conducted in Hoima Regional Referral Hospital in the surgical department.

### Study population

Study population will be all patients admitted to Hoima Regional Referral Hospital and have under gone surgery and those who had previous surgery at HRRH and are readmitted with wound sepsis within 30 days post operation.

### Inclusion criteria

- Patients consenting to participate in the study
- Patients who undergo surgical operation and are admitted to the ward and those discharged

immediately after operation and return within 7- 14 days for stitch removal.

### Exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria will include

- Patients transferred or referred to HRRH with sepsis as a complication of a previous surgery done from other health units.
- Voluntary withdraw from the study.
- Patients who will not have consented to participate in the study

### Sample size determination

The sample size was calculated using the probability sampling formula below:

By [20],

$$N = (pqZ^2)/d^2$$

Where,

n = sample size, when the population size is greater than 10,000.

z = Standard normal deviation, i.e.1.96, set at 95% confidence level.

p = proportion of patients with surgical site infection

Kunihira

$q = 1 - p$

$d = \text{Desired margin of error (5\%)}$

If the value of  $p = 16.4\%$  [12]

$n = z^2 p (1 - p) / d^2$

$= 1.96^2 \times 0.164(1 - 0.164) / 0.05^2$

$N = 129$

However due to unforeseen factors including COVID-19 pandemic, **111 patients** (86% of the sample size) were studied.

#### Sampling procedures

Purposive sampling technique was used to sample the study participants whereby a patient coming in and meets the inclusion criteria was enrolled into the study.

#### Data analysis

Data was coded and entered in IBM SPSS version 20 for analysis. Analyzed data was presented in form of tables and figures

Out of the 111 sampled patients, 21 (18.9%) developed Surgical Site Infection (SSI) while the remaining 90 (81.1%) acquired full recovery. The most common form of SSI was a deep incisional type (12) followed by those who developed organ/space infections (8) and the minority developed superficial type (1).

**Table 1: pattern of SSIs among patients at HRRH**

VARIABLE	RESPONSES, N= 111		
	Response	n/N	(%) of N
Developed SSI	Yes	21	<b>18.9</b>
	No	90	81.1

  

VARIABLE	Developed SSI		
	YES, n	NO, n	TOTAL, (%)
Type of surgery	Emergency	14	<b>78 (70.3)</b>
	Elective	7	33 (29.7)
	Total	21	90
Type of SSI developed	Superficial incisional	1	1 (4.8)
	Deep incisional	12	<b>12 (57.1)</b>
	Organ/space	8	8 (38.1)

#### Source: Patients' data

Considering their socio-demographics, majority of the patients were aged 40-59 (34.2%), followed by those between 30-39 years (29.7%), 20-29years (20.7%), < 20years (10.8%) and lastly 60 years or more (4.5%). There were more male patients (65) than females (46). Most patients were married (37.8%) followed by those who were divorced/separated (32.4%) and then

showing frequencies and proportions. Further analysis of data involved cross-tabulation of the independent variables against the dependent variable (Surgical Site Infection) to reveal the proportions of which had the condition.

#### Ethical considerations

The permission to conduct this study was sought from Kampala International University Research Ethics Committee, Institution Review Committee and Hoima Regional Referral Hospital.

Participants to be enrolled were requested to sign consent after thorough explanation of purpose of the study, risks involved and use of data to be collected [21]. Numbers instead of names were used in all the questionnaires and laboratory forms.

#### RESULTS

During the time of data collection, the most common type of surgery was emergency operations (70.3%) whereas only 29.7% were elective. Of those who developed SSIs, still majority (14) were those who underwent emergency operation as illustrated in table 1 below.

the singles (29.7%). Majority of the patients had attained primary level education (45), followed by secondary (40), tertiary (18) and then those who didn't have formal education (8). The patients were Anglicans (37), Moslems (32), Catholics (29), SDAs (9), and Born Again (4) in that order. Regarding medical history and examination, out of the 111, majority (42) had had the

symptoms for 3-7days before seeking medical intervention while 35, 23 and 11 had the symptoms for less than 3 days, 8-14 days and over 2 weeks respectively. Most patients didn't have co-morbidities (51.4%) however among those who had

other conditions; majority had HIV/AIDS (28.8%). 53 (47.7%) patients had a normal body mass index while the majority were neither smoking (86.5%) nor taking alcohol (77.5%) as seen in table 2 below.

**Table 2: showing patient factors contributing to SSIs among patients at HRRH**

VARIABLE	DEVELOPED SSI		
	Yes, n	No, n	Total, (%)
<b>SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS</b>			
<b>Age</b>			
<20 yrs	3	9	12 (10.8)
20-29	5	18	23 (20.7)
30-39	6	27	33 (29.7)
40-59	6	32	<b>38 (34.2)</b>
60 years and more	1	4	5 (4.5)
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	12	53	<b>65 (58.6)</b>
Female	9	37	46 (41.4)
<b>Marital Status</b>			
Single	6	27	33 (29.7)
Married	10	32	<b>42 (37.8)</b>
Separated/Divorced	5	31	36 (32.4)
<b>Level of Education</b>			
None	3	5	8 (7.2)
Primary	5	40	<b>45 (40.5)</b>
Secondary	9	31	40 (36.0)
Tertiary	4	14	18 (16.2)
<b>Religion</b>			
Anglican	8	29	<b>37 (33.3)</b>
Catholic	7	22	29 (26.1)
Moslem	5	27	32 (28.8)
SDA	0	9	9 (8.1)
Born Again	1	3	4 (3.6)
<b>MEDICAL HISTORY AND EXAMINATION</b>			
<b>Duration with symptoms</b>			
Within 72 hours	4	31	35 (31.5)
3 - 7days	10	32	<b>42 (37.8)</b>
8 - 14 days	4	19	23 (20.7)
15 days or more	3	8	11 (9.9)
<b>Other co-morbidities</b>			
Diabetes	3	5	8 (7.2)
HIV/AIDS	7	25	32 (28.8)
Heart and/or vascular disease	3	7	10 (9.0)
Thyroid disease	0	1	1 (0.9)
Others	1	2	3 (2.7)
None	7	50	<b>57 (51.4)</b>
<b>Body Mass Index (kg/m2)</b>			
<18.5	3	7	10 (9.0)
18.5 - 24.9	8	45	<b>53 (47.7)</b>
25 - 29.9	8	25	33 (29.7)
30 - 34.9	1	11	12 (10.8)
35 and more	1	2	3 (2.7)
<b>Current or past smoking</b>			

Kunihira	www.iaajournals.org			
	Yes	2	13	15 (13.5)
	No	19	77	<b>96 (86.5)</b>
<b>Current or past alcohol intake</b>				
	Yes	7	18	25 (22.5)
	No	14	72	<b>86 (77.5)</b>

**Source: Patients' data**

From table 3 below, majority (43.2%) of the patients were operated between 6 -11 hours after admission, followed by those operated after 12 - 24 hours (25.2%), more than 24 hours (21.6%) and then those within less than 6 hours (9.9%). The big percentage (80.2%) of the patients was

clinically stable before operation. Almost all (98.2%) patients received pre-op prophylaxis before operation. Using the ASA scoring system for eligibility for anesthesia, majority fell under score 1 (55), followed by those in score 2 (37) and then score 3 (19).

**Table 3: showing pre-operative factors associated with SSIs**

VARIABLE	DEVELOPED SSI		
	Yes, n	No, n	Total, (%)
<b>PRE-OP FACTORS</b>			
<b>Interval between admission and operation</b>			
< 6hours	2	9	11 (9.9)
6 - 11 hours	11	37	<b>48 (43.2)</b>
12 - 24 hours	5	23	28 (25.2)
>1day	3	21	24 (21.6)
<b>Pre-op patient clinical stability</b>			
Stable	17	72	<b>89 (80.2)</b>
Unstable	4	18	22 (19.8)
<b>Pre-op antibiotics prophylaxis</b>			
Received	21	88	<b>109 (98.2)</b>
Didn't receive	0	2	2 (1.8)
<b>ASA Score</b>			
1	13	42	<b>55 (49.5)</b>
2	3	34	37 (33.3)
3	5	14	19 (17.1)

**Source: Patients' data**

Considering intra-operative events, for most of the patients it was iodine (52.3%) that was used as a skin prep solution, followed those where chlorohexidine (44.1%) was used and then where other solutions (3.6%) were applied. Majority (44.1%) of the patients were given general anesthesia while 36.9% received spinal and 18.9% received local. The majority of the wound were classified as clean (43), followed by dirty ones (36), contaminated (23), and clean contaminated (9). In 85.6% of the patients, the surgical site was not shaved and it was only in 14.4% where the surgical site was shaved. Most operations (49.5%) were conducted by senior house officers (SHOs), followed by surgeons

(30.6%) and then Interns (19.9%). Majority of the operations were laparatomies (55), followed by others operations (44) and then Amputation procedures (12). Most operations (57.7%) took 1 hour or less followed by those which lasted 2 - 4 hours (32.4%) and then those which lasted over 4 hours (9.9%). Almost all (97.3%) skin suturing was done by non-absorbable sutures. The majority (89.2%) of the wounds were closed using interrupted suturing technique while only 10.8% were closed using the continuous technique. In majority (79) of the patients, post-operation drains were not used with only 32 patients where the drains were utilized as illustrated in table 4 below.

**Table 4: showing Intra-op factors associated with SSIs**

VARIABLE	DEVELOPED SSI		
	Yes, n	No, n	Total, (%)
<b>INTRA-OP FACTORS</b>			
<b>Skin Prep Solution</b>			
Chlorohexidine	10	39	49 (44.1)
Iodine	11	47	<b>58 (52.3)</b>
Others	0	4	4 (3.6)
<b>Type of anesthesia</b>			
Local	5	16	21 (18.9)
Spinal	7	34	41 (36.9)
General	9	40	<b>49 (44.1)</b>
<b>Wound Classification</b>			
Clean	6	37	<b>43 (38.7)</b>
Clean Contaminated	2	7	9 (8.1)
Contaminated	6	17	23 (20.7)
Dirty	7	29	36 (32.4)
<b>Was Surgical Site Shaved</b>			
Yes	3	13	16 (14.4)
No	18	77	<b>95 (85.6)</b>
<b>Cadre of Surgeon</b>			
Intern	5	17	22 (19.9)
Senior House Officer	13	42	<b>55 (49.5)</b>
Surgeon	3	31	34 (30.6)
<b>Type of Operation</b>			
Laparotomy	7	48	<b>55 (49.5)</b>
Amputation	2	10	12 (10.8)
Others	12	32	44 (39.6)
<b>Duration of Operation</b>			
1 hour or less	12	52	<b>64 (57.7)</b>
2 - 4 hours	6	30	36 (32.4)
>4 hours	3	8	11 (9.9)
<b>Type of skin suture</b>			
Absorbable	0	3	3 (2.7)
Non-absorbable	20	88	<b>108 (97.3)</b>
<b>Suturing Technique</b>			
Interrupted	18	81	<b>99 (89.2)</b>
Continuous	3	9	12 (10.8)
<b>Was drain used</b>			
Yes	8	24	32 (28.8)
No	13	66	<b>79 (71.2)</b>

**Source: Patients' data**

In table 5 below, almost all (99.1%) patients received post-op antibiotics and with the majority receiving it by intravenous route (87.4%), followed by intramuscular route (10.8%) and then oral route (1.8%). A big

proportion (78.4%) of the patients got their stitches removed by day 7 post-operation, followed by those for whom they were removed within 8 - 14 days (19.8%) and only a few took long than 2 weeks (1.8%).

**Table 5: showing post-op factors associated with SSIs**

VARIABLE	DEVELOPED SSI		
	Yes, n	No, n	Total, (%)
<b>POST-OP FACTORS</b>			
Was Post-op antibiotics given			
	Yes	21	89
	No	0	1
			110 (99.1)
Route of administration			
	I.V	19	78
	I.M	2	10
	Oral	0	2
			97 (87.4)
			12 (10.8)
			2 (1.8)
Days taken before removal of stitches			
	7days	13	74
	8 - 14 days	7	15
	>14days	2	0
			87 (78.4)
			22 (19.8)
			2 (1.8)

**Source: Patients' data**

### DISCUSSION

From this current study, 21 (18.9%) of the operated patients (111) developed SSIs of whom the majority (14/21) had undergone emergency operations. Additionally, of those who had SSIs, the biggest proportion (57.1%) had deep incisional type while 38.1% had organ/space SSIs and 4.8% had superficial incisional type.

The above findings are slightly higher as compared to discoveries in selected Sub-Saharan African countries 3 years earlier which revealed an incidence rate ranging from 11 to 18% [1] but divergently higher as compared to findings in the US (1.9%), in Brazil (3.4%), Turkey (4.1%) and India (5.0%) [22]. However, similar to findings at General Surgery Unit (GSU) at Erciyes University Hospital in Turkey (15.2%) [23], at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital (16.4%) amongst emergency postoperative patients [12] and among non-diabetic patients (16.5%) at St. Francis Hospital Nsambya [24]. This difference in the incidence could rather be due to the fact that during this study the majority of the operations were emergent as opposed to similar studies where the majority were elective procedures. However, further individual factor specific relationship is required to draw the exact trend in this locality.

On the contrary, the individual proportions of type of SSIs are divergent as related to a prospective hospital-based study conducted at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Center general surgical wards

which found that Superficial SSIs constituted (61.1%) while deep SSI constituted (27.8%) and organ/space (11.1%) [25]. This could partly be explained as far as differences in hospital equipment, endemicity of pathogens and other regional or provincial protocols in patient management.

Findings from this current study have shown an association between developing SSIs and a couple of patients whereby out of the patients who developed SSIs, majorities were aged 30 - 59 years, males, married, had attained secondary education, and were Anglicans. In the same trend majorities had had symptoms for 3 - 7 days, had HIV as a co-morbidity, were normal weight or obese, non-smokers and didn't take alcohol.

Overall, concerning age these findings were slightly divergent as opposed to earlier discoveries which showed that risk of SSIs was high in extreme ages [11]. However, obesity has still posed a risk factor as it was in a study done at Ayub Teaching Hospital which concluded that the incidence of SSIs in patients with obesity was higher than non-obese patients [5]. Similarly previous studies have shown that patients with co-morbidities, such as HIV/AIDS infection, Malignancy and DM are at high risk of developing SSI due to their low immunity [24, 5] including one in Northwestern Tanzania which found the rate of SSI to be significantly higher in HIV positive

patients than non-HIV patients [26] and another by Akoko et al, 2013 which showed that the odds of developing SSI by HIV status was five times higher as compared to HIV negatives [27].

This current study has discovered that of the patients who developed SSIs, majorities had a 6 - 11hours interval between admission and operation; and surprisingly many were stable, had received pre-op prophylaxis and had an ASA score of 1. However, there was no concrete association between these factors and the tendency to develop surgical site infections. Additionally, these results are based on patients who were able to access health care during the COVID 19 pandemic. Furthermore, current findings reveal that of the patients who developed SSIs, intra-operatively majorities had undergone general anesthesia, had dirty wounds, their surgical site was not shaved,

operation tool less than an hour and no drain was placed. The class of wounds greatly affects the risk of developing SSI and earlier findings showed that the odds of developing SSI increased dramatically from wound class I to class IV [27]. Statistically the risk of SSI is higher in dirty and contaminated wounds than in clean and clean contaminated wounds probably due to increased microbial load in the operative field [12]. This study has shown that post-operatively, patients who developed SSIs received post-op antibiotics and mostly by intravenous route and had their stitches removed after 7days. This could be explained by the fact that most patients had undergone emergency operations and a couple of other factors were involved including comorbidities and other intra-operative events.

#### CONCLUSION

Regardless of other factors, the incidence rate of Surgical Site Infections at the hospital is on the rise almost highest in the

country with majorly patients' factors and intra-operative events showing association to the development of SSIs.

#### REFERENCES

1. Mukagendaneza, M. J. (2019). Incidence, root causes, and outcomes of surgical site infections in a tertiary care hospital in Rwanda: a prospective observational cohort study. *Patient Safety in Surgery* 13(1):10.
2. Olowo-Okere, A. (2018). Occurrence of Surgical Site Infections at a Tertiary Healthcare Facility in Abuja, Nigeria: A Prospective Observational Study. *Medical sciences (Basel, Switzerland)* 6(3):60.
3. Muhindo, A. B., Aliero, A. A., Odoki, M., Ntulume, I., Eilu, E., Mutebi, J., & Apecu, R. O. (2021). Antibiotic-Resistant Profiles of Bacteria Isolated from Cesarean and Surgical Patients from Kasese District Hospitals Western Uganda. *Borneo Journal of Pharmacy*, 4(2), 145-156.
4. Tela, U. M., Ahmed, A., & Waziri, M. A. (2017). Peyronies Disease: Report of a Successful Non-Surgical Treatment. *Journal of medical science and clinical research*, 5(4): 0767-20770
5. Sattar, F. (2019). Frequency of Post-operative Surgical Site Infections in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Abbottabad, Pakistan. *Cureus* 11(3):e4243-e4243.
6. Ntsama, E. C., Avomo, J., Esiene, A., Leme, B. L., Abologo, A. L., & Masso, M. P., & Essomba, A. (2013). Prevalence of surgical site infections and evaluation of risk factors after surgery, case of three public hospitals in Cameroon. *Journal of Medicine and Medicine Sciences* 4(6):241-246.
7. Anderson, G. A., Ilcisin, L., Abesiga, L., Mayanja, R., Benetiz, N. P., Ngonzi, J., & Shrimel, M. G. (2017). Surgical volume and postoperative mortality rate at a referral hospital in Western Uganda: Measuring the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery indicators in low-resource settings. *Surgery*, 161(6), 1710-1719.
8. Olowo-okere, A. (2017). Prevalence of Surgical Site Infection in a Nigerian University Teaching Hospital. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Allied Sciences* 14:2430-2438.
9. Abid, K. J., Karki, B., Haider, H., Ashraf, M., & Islam, H. R. (2010). Surgical outcome of totally extra peritoneal



- (TEP) Laparoscopic repair versus Tension free mesh repair (Lichtenstein) in inguinal hernias. *Annals of King Edward Medical University*, 16(1 SI).
10. Anderson, G. A., Ilcisin, L., Ngonzi, J., Ttendo, S., Twesigye, D., Benitez, N. P., & Nehra, D. (2018). Validation of an electronic surgical outcomes database at Mbarara regional referral hospital, Uganda. *World Journal of Surgery*, 42, 54-60.
  11. Olowo-okere, A., & Busayo, O. (2019). Epidemiology of Surgical Site Infections in Nigeria: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
  12. Lubega, A., Joel, B., & Najjuka, J. L. (2017). Incidence and Etiology of Surgical Site Infections among Emergency Postoperative Patients in Mubembe Regional Referral Hospital, South Western Uganda. *Surgery Research and Practice*, 1-6.
  13. Firth, P. G., Mushagara, R., Musinguzi, N., Liu, C., Boatin, A. A., Mugabi, W., & Ttendo, S. S. (2021). Surgical, obstetric, and anesthetic mortality measurement at a Ugandan Secondary Referral Hospital. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 133(6), 1608-1616.
  14. Drevin, G., Albutt, K., Sanyu, F., Twesigye, D., Mugenyi, G. R., Ngonzi, J., & Firth, P. G. (2018). Bridging the data gap in global health: an electronic surgical outcomes database at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, Uganda. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6, S45.
  15. Liu, C., Riesel, J. N., Twesigye, D., Mugenyi, G., Ngonzi, J. N., Sanyu, F., & Firth, P. G. (2015). Surgical Diagnosis and Procedure Codes for Outcomes Research in a Ugandan Regional Referral Hospital: The Mbarara Experience. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 221(4), S88-S89.
  16. Keping, C., Jiawei, L., Qingfang, K., Changxian, W., Nanyuan, Y., & Guohua, X. (2015). Risk factors for surgical site infections in a teaching hospital. Dove Press.
  17. Parikh, S. S., Parekh, S. B., Doshi, C., & Vyas, V. (2017). ProSeal laryngeal mask airway versus cuffed endotracheal tube for laparoscopic surgical procedures under general anesthesia: A random comparative study. *Anesthesia, Essays and Researches*, 11(4), 958.
  18. Agodi, A. (2015). Risk of surgical site infection in older patients in a cohort survey: targets for quality improvement in antibiotic prophylaxis. *International surgery*, 100(3):473-479.
  19. Ugwu, C. N., & Eze, V. H. U. (2023). Qualitative Research. *IDOSR Journal of Computer and Applied Sciences* 8(1): 20-35. <https://www.idosr.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/IDOSR-JCAS-8120-35-2023.docx.pdf>
  20. Fischer, P. M., Schwartz, M. P., Richards, J. W., Goldstein, A. O., & Rojas, T. H. (1991). Brand logo recognition by children aged 3 to 6 years. Mickey Mouse and Old Joe the Camel. *JAMA*, 266(22):3145-8. PMID: 1956101.
  21. Ugwu, C. N., Eze, V. H. U., Ugwu, J. N., Ogenyi, F. C., & Ugwu, O. P. C. (2023). Ethical Publication Issues in the Collection and Analysis of Research Data. *Newport International Journal of Scientific and Experimental Sciences (NIJSES)* 3(2): 132-140. <https://nijournals.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/NIJSES-32-132-140-2023.pdf>
  22. Carvalho, R. L. R., Campos, C. C., Franco, L. M. C., Rocha, A. M., & Ercole, F. F. (2017). Incidence and risk factors for surgical site infection in general surgeries. *Latino-Am. Enfermagem*.
  23. Emine, A. (2014). What really affects surgical site infection rates in general surgery in a developing country? *Journal of Infection and Public Health* 7(5):445-449.
  24. Moses, M. (2016). Prevalence of surgical Site Infections in Non-Diabetic Patients Undergoing Major Surgery at St. Francis Hospital Nsambya. *J Med Imp Surg.*, 1:108.
  25. Kitembo, S. K., & Chugulu, S. G. (2013). Incidence of Surgical Site Infections and Microbial Pattern at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre.

Kunihira

www.iaajournals.org

26. Mawalla, B., Mshana, S. E., Chalya, P. L., Imirzalioglu, C., & Mahalu, W. (2011). Predictors of surgical site infections among patients undergoing major surgery at Bugando Medical Centre in Northwestern Tanzania. *BMC Surg.*, 11:21. doi: 10.1186/1471-2482-11-21. PMID: 21880145; PMCID: PMC3175437.

27. Beard, J. H., Oresanya, L. B., Ohene-Yeboah, M. et al (2013). Characterizing the global burden of surgical disease: a method to estimate inguinal hernia epidemiology in Ghana. *World J Surg.*, 37:498-503. doi:10.1007/s00268-012-1864-x

**CITE AS: Kunihira Mark (2023).Patterns of Surgical Site Infections Among Post Operative Patients at Hoima Regional Referral Hospital. IAA Journal of Scientific Research 10(3):31-40.**