IAA Journal of Arts and Humanities 11(1):10-17, 2024.
©IAAJOURNALS
https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJAH/2024/11.11017.11
www.iaajournals.org
ISSN: 2636-7297
IAAJAH:11.11017.11

# Evaluating the Effectiveness of E-Government Platforms in East Africa

**[1]Ugwu Jovita Nnenna, [2]Ugwuanyi Ifeoma Perpetua, [3]Asuma Mariita Nchaga, [4]Tushabe Hadijah, [5]Eric Mabonga and [4]Tom Ongesa Nyamboga**

**[1]Department of Publication and Extension Kampala International University Uganda.**
**[2]Department of Educational Management Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu Nigeria.**
**[3]Department of Public Administration Kampala International University Uganda**
**[4]Department of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda**
**[5]Accounting and Finance, Kampala International University, Uganda**

## ABSTRACT

This review evaluates the effectiveness of e-government platforms in East Africa, analyzing their impact, challenges, and best practices. It examines evaluation frameworks, adaptation for the region, and key performance indicators (KPIs). Case studies from Kenya, Uganda, Rwanda, and Ethiopia illustrate successes and hurdles. Socio-economic, technological, and organizational factors affecting effectiveness are discussed, alongside policy implications. Recommendations encompass infrastructure enhancement, digital literacy promotion, user experience improvement, and capacity building. Future research suggestions aim to optimize e-government's role in fostering sustainable development in East Africa.

**Keywords:** E-government, East Africa, Effectiveness, Evaluation frameworks, Digital governance

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, East African nations have witnessed a rapid digital transformation, with governments embracing e-government initiatives to improve service delivery, enhance transparency, and foster economic growth. East African countries, including Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, Rwanda, and Ethiopia, have made significant strides in adopting e-government solutions to modernize public service delivery. These initiatives encompass a wide range of digital platforms and services, including online portals for citizen engagement, electronic payment systems, digital identity management, and government-to-government (G2G) interactions. Governments in the region have recognized the potential of e-government to streamline bureaucratic processes, improve access to services, and promote citizen participation in governance. By leveraging information and communication technologies (ICTs), East African countries aim to enhance administrative efficiency, reduce corruption, and bridge the digital divide between urban and rural areas [1, 2]. While e-government initiatives hold great promise, it is crucial to evaluate their effectiveness in achieving their intended objectives. Assessing the impact and efficiency of e-government platforms helps governments identify strengths, weaknesses, and areas for improvement. It also enables policymakers to allocate resources effectively, prioritize investments, and ensure the sustainability of digital governance initiatives. Assessing the effectiveness of e-government platforms is essential for maintaining public trust and confidence in digital governance. Citizens expect seamless and reliable online services, and any shortcomings or inefficiencies in e-government systems can undermine public trust in government institutions [4, 5]. Therefore, conducting regular reviews and evaluations of e-government initiatives is essential for ensuring accountability, transparency, and responsiveness to citizen needs. The primary objective of this review is to assess the effectiveness of e-government platforms in East Africa. This review seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on e-government in East Africa by providing insights into the impact, challenges, and best practices of digital governance initiatives. By evaluating the effectiveness of e-government platforms, policymakers and stakeholders can make informed decisions to harness the full potential of ICTs for sustainable development and inclusive governance in the region [6].

**Frameworks for Evaluating E-Government Platforms**

Evaluation frameworks and methodologies play a crucial role in assessing the effectiveness and impact of e-government platforms. They provide structured approaches for measuring various dimensions of digital governance initiatives, including service quality, user satisfaction, efficiency, and transparency. Here, we discuss existing evaluation frameworks, their adaptation for the East African context, and key performance indicators (KPIs) and evaluation criteria [6].

**Existing Evaluation Frameworks and Methodologies**

**a. UN e-Government Survey Framework**

The United Nations e-Government Survey Framework is a robust tool designed to evaluate the readiness, progress, and effectiveness of e-government initiatives across different countries. Developed by the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA), this framework offers a comprehensive approach to assessing various aspects of e-government implementation. The UN e-Government Survey Framework provides a comprehensive and standardized approach to assessing e-government initiatives worldwide. By evaluating countries based on indicators related to e-government readiness, online service availability, institutional infrastructure, telecommunication infrastructure, and human capital development, the framework offers valuable insights into the progress and challenges of digital governance across different regions [7]. This enables policymakers, practitioners, and international organizations to identify best practices, benchmark performance, and formulate strategies for advancing e-government agendas and achieving sustainable development goals [8].

**b. Electronic Government Maturity Models (eGMM)**

Electronic Government Maturity Models (eGMM) play a vital role in assessing and improving the maturity of e-government initiatives. These models offer structured frameworks that enable governments to evaluate various aspects of their digital transformation journey, including governance structures, operational processes, technological capabilities, and interoperability standards. Two prominent examples of eGMM are the Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) and the European Interoperability Framework (EIF). The Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) is a well-established model developed by the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) at Carnegie Mellon University. It provides a systematic approach to assessing and improving the maturity of processes within organizations, including those related to e-government projects. CMMI defines five maturity levels, ranging from Initial to Optimizing, each representing progressively more mature and efficient processes. Within the context of e-government, CMMI helps organizations evaluate the effectiveness of their project management practices, software development processes, system integration capabilities, and continuous improvement efforts. By identifying areas for improvement and implementing targeted interventions, governments can enhance the maturity and performance of their e-government initiatives [9]. The European Interoperability Framework (EIF) is another significant eGMM developed by the European Commission to promote interoperability and harmonization of e-government services across EU member states. The EIF defines a structured framework for assessing the maturity of interoperability aspects within e-government initiatives. This includes technical interoperability (e.g., use of common standards and protocols), semantic interoperability (e.g., harmonization of data formats and classifications), organizational interoperability (e.g., alignment of business processes), and legal interoperability (e.g., compliance with data protection regulations). By evaluating interoperability maturity across these dimensions, governments can identify gaps and barriers to seamless data exchange and service delivery. The EIF helps governments align their e-government strategies with European interoperability principles, facilitating cross-border collaboration and the provision of citizen-centric digital services. eGMMs such as CMMI and EIF provide valuable frameworks for assessing the maturity of e-government initiatives. By defining maturity levels and criteria across key dimensions such as governance, processes, technology, and interoperability, these models enable governments to identify strengths, weaknesses, and areas for improvement in their digital transformation efforts. Through targeted interventions and continuous monitoring, governments can enhance the effectiveness, efficiency, and interoperability of their e-government services, ultimately delivering better outcomes for citizens and stakeholders [10, 11].

**c. Balanced Scorecard (BSC)**

The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) framework is a strategic management tool that has been widely adopted in various sectors, including e-government, to measure and monitor organizational performance from multiple perspectives. Originally developed by Kaplan and Norton, the BSC framework goes beyond traditional financial metrics and provides a more comprehensive approach to performance

measurement by incorporating additional dimensions such as customer satisfaction, internal processes, and learning and growth. In the context of e-government, the Balanced Scorecard framework offers a structured approach to evaluating and improving the effectiveness of digital government initiatives [12, 13, 14].

**d. Digital Government Assessment Framework (DGAF)**

The Digital Government Assessment Framework (DGAF), pioneered by the World Bank, serves as a comprehensive tool for evaluating e-government initiatives across various dimensions. Developed to address the growing importance of digital governance projects in enhancing public service delivery and governance outcomes, DGAF focuses on assessing the impact and sustainability of e-government endeavors. The Digital Government Assessment Framework (DGAF) provides a structured and systematic approach for evaluating the impact and sustainability of e-government projects across these four dimensions. By assessing infrastructure, online services, human capital, and the institutional environment, governments can identify strengths, weaknesses, and areas for improvement in their digital governance efforts. This enables policymakers and practitioners to make informed decisions, prioritize investments, and implement strategies to enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of e-government initiatives, ultimately improving public service delivery and governance outcomes [15].

**Adaptation of Frameworks for the East African Context**

Adapting existing evaluation frameworks for the East African context requires consideration of regional context, socioeconomic factors, and technological infrastructure. Adapting evaluation frameworks for the East African context requires a holistic understanding of regional context, socioeconomic factors, technological infrastructure, governance dynamics, and user perspectives. Incorporating these considerations into evaluation processes, policymakers and stakeholders can assess the effectiveness and impact of e-government initiatives accurately and drive continuous improvement in digital governance practices [16, 17].

**Regional Context**

East Africa comprises diverse countries with varying levels of economic development, political stability, and institutional capacity. Evaluation frameworks need to account for these differences and tailor assessments to the specific context of each country. Factors such as cultural norms, languages spoken, and historical contexts also influence the design and implementation of evaluation methodologies [18].

**Socioeconomic Factors**

Socioeconomic disparities, including income inequality, rural-urban divide, and access to basic services, profoundly impact the adoption and effectiveness of e-government initiatives. Evaluation frameworks should consider the socioeconomic landscape of East Africa, focusing on indicators related to digital inclusion, equity, and social impact. Assessments should explore how e-government platforms address the needs of marginalized communities and contribute to poverty reduction and social development [19].

**Technological Infrastructure**

The availability and quality of technological infrastructure, including internet connectivity, mobile penetration, and ICT literacy levels, vary across East African countries. Evaluation frameworks should account for these disparities and assess the readiness of infrastructure to support e-government services. Factors such as network reliability, bandwidth constraints, and electricity access also influence the usability and accessibility of digital platforms, requiring tailored evaluation criteria for infrastructure resilience and reliability [20].

**Governance Dynamics**

Political and institutional factors shape the implementation and governance of e-government initiatives in East Africa. Evaluation frameworks need to consider the regulatory environment, governance structures, and administrative capacity of governments to implement and sustain digital governance projects. Assessments should examine issues such as transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement in e-government processes, highlighting areas for improvement and capacity-building [21].

**User-Centric Approach**

Adopting a user-centric approach to evaluation is crucial for understanding citizen needs, preferences, and experiences with e-government services. Evaluation frameworks should incorporate mechanisms for gathering user feedback, conducting usability testing, and assessing the usability, accessibility, and inclusivity of digital platforms. Insights from user research can inform iterative improvements to e-government systems, ensuring they meet the evolving needs of citizens and deliver value for money [22].

**Consideration of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and Evaluation Criteria**

Key performance indicators (KPIs) and evaluation criteria provide measurable metrics for assessing the

effectiveness and performance of e-government platforms [23]. Some relevant KPIs and evaluation criteria for the East African context may include:

- Service Availability and Accessibility: Percentage of government services available online, ease of access for users across different devices and internet connections.
- User Satisfaction: User satisfaction surveys, feedback mechanisms, and response times for resolving user queries or complaints.
- Digital Inclusion: Percentage of population with access to e-government services, efforts to bridge the digital divide through outreach programs and capacity-building initiatives.
- Interoperability: Degree of interoperability between different government agencies and systems, adherence to open standards and data exchange protocols.
- Data Security and Privacy: Compliance with data protection laws and regulations, measures for ensuring the security and confidentiality of user data.
- Cost-effectiveness: Cost per transaction, return on investment (ROI) for e-government initiatives, efficiency gains achieved through digitization of services.
- Innovation and Digital Transformation: Adoption of emerging technologies, initiatives to promote innovation and entrepreneurship in the digital economy.

## Case Studies of E-Government Platforms in East Africa

In recent years, several East African countries have implemented e-government platforms to enhance service delivery, promote transparency, and improve governance. Below are case studies examining specific e-government platforms in the region, analyzing their successes, challenges, user adoption, service delivery, and impact on governance. These case studies highlight the progress and challenges of e-government platforms in East Africa. While these platforms have achieved notable successes in enhancing service delivery, promoting transparency, and improving governance, they also face challenges related to infrastructure limitations, capacity building, cybersecurity, and digital literacy. By addressing these challenges and leveraging opportunities for innovation and collaboration, East African countries can further harness the potential of e-government platforms to drive sustainable development and inclusive governance in the region [24, 25].

### Huduma Kenya (Kenya)

Huduma Kenya is a one-stop-shop government service center that provides citizens with access to various public services under one roof. It offers services such as issuance of identification documents, business registration, healthcare services, and social welfare programs.

#### Successes

Convenience: Huduma Centers provide citizens with convenient access to essential services, reducing the need to visit multiple government offices.

Improved Efficiency: By streamlining service delivery processes, Huduma Kenya has reduced bureaucratic hurdles and waiting times, enhancing efficiency.

Digital Integration: Huduma Centers leverage ICTs to digitize service delivery, enabling online appointment booking, document submission, and tracking of service requests.

#### Challenges

Infrastructure Limitations: Limited internet connectivity and ICT infrastructure in remote areas pose challenges for accessing Huduma Centers and online services.

Capacity Building: Ensuring adequate training and capacity-building for staff to effectively manage and operate Huduma Centers remains a challenge.

Data Security: Safeguarding citizen data and ensuring privacy in a digital environment are ongoing concerns for Huduma Kenya.

### Rwanda Online Platform (Rwanda)

The Rwanda Online Platform is a centralized digital platform that provides citizens and businesses with access to government services, information, and resources online. It offers services such as tax payments, business registration, land registration, and citizen registration.

#### Successes

Digital Transformation: The Rwanda Online Platform has facilitated the digital transformation of government services, reducing paperwork and administrative burdens.

Ease of Doing Business: By enabling online business registration and tax payments, the platform has improved the ease of doing business in Rwanda, attracting investment and fostering entrepreneurship.

Transparency and Accountability: The platform promotes transparency by providing citizens with access to government information, documents, and public services online, enhancing accountability in governance.

**Challenges**

Digital Divide: Limited internet access and ICT literacy among certain segments of the population hinder widespread adoption of the Rwanda Online Platform.

Cybersecurity Concerns: Ensuring the security of citizen data and protecting the platform from cyber threats are ongoing challenges.

Service Integration: Integrating services across different government departments and agencies to provide seamless online experiences for users remains a challenge.

**Uganda e-Citizen Portal (Uganda)**

The Uganda e-Citizen Portal is an online platform that provides citizens with access to government services, information, and payments online. It offers services such as passport applications, vehicle registration, tax payments, and land title verification.

**Successes**

Accessibility: The e-Citizen Portal offers citizens convenient access to government services from anywhere with an internet connection, reducing the need for physical visits to government offices.

Efficiency: By digitizing service delivery processes, the portal has improved the efficiency of government services, reducing paperwork and processing times.

Revenue Generation: Online payment functionalities on the portal have facilitated revenue collection for the government, streamlining tax payments and other transactions.

**Challenges**

Digital Literacy: Limited ICT literacy among certain segments of the population poses challenges for user adoption of the e-Citizen Portal.

Service Availability: Ensuring the availability and reliability of online services on the portal, particularly during peak usage times, remains a challenge.

Data Privacy: Protecting citizen data and ensuring privacy on the e-Citizen Portal are ongoing concerns for the government.

**Factors Influencing Effectiveness of E-Government Platforms in East Africa**

The effectiveness of e-government platforms in East Africa is influenced by a multitude of factors spanning socio-economic, technological, and organizational realms. Identifying these factors is crucial for understanding the challenges and opportunities associated with the implementation and adoption of digital governance initiatives. Additionally, policy implications and regulatory frameworks play a significant role in shaping the trajectory of e-government initiatives in the region [26, 27, 28].

1. **Socio-economic Factors**

Digital Divide: Disparities in internet access, ICT literacy, and socioeconomic status contribute to unequal access to e-government platforms, particularly in rural and marginalized communities.

Education and Awareness: Levels of education and digital literacy among the population influence user adoption and engagement with e-government services. Efforts to improve awareness and provide digital skills training can enhance the effectiveness of these platforms. Trust and Confidence: Public trust in government institutions and confidence in the security and privacy of e-government platforms are essential for fostering user participation and engagement.

2. **Technological Factors**

Infrastructure Readiness: The availability and quality of ICT infrastructure, including internet connectivity, mobile networks, and electricity supply, determine the feasibility and usability of e-government platforms.

Technological Innovation: Rapid advancements in technology, including mobile applications, cloud computing, and data analytics, offer opportunities for enhancing the functionality and accessibility of e-government services.

Cybersecurity: Ensuring the security of e-government platforms against cyber threats, data breaches, and privacy violations is critical for maintaining public trust and confidence.

3. **Organizational Factors**

Leadership and Governance: Effective leadership and governance structures within government agencies are essential for driving the strategic vision, coordination, and implementation of e-government initiatives.

Capacity Building: Adequate training and capacity-building programs for government officials and stakeholders are necessary to ensure the effective operation and management of e-government platforms.

Collaboration and Partnerships: Collaboration between government agencies, private sector partners, civil society organizations, and international stakeholders can enhance the development, implementation, and sustainability of e-government initiatives.

4. **Policy Implications and Regulatory Frameworks**

Legal and Regulatory Environment: Regulatory frameworks governing data protection, privacy, cybersecurity, and electronic transactions shape the design and operation of e-government platforms. Clear and robust regulations are essential for

protecting citizen rights and ensuring the integrity of digital governance.

Policy Alignment: Coherence and alignment between e-government policies, national development strategies, and sectoral priorities are crucial for maximizing the impact and effectiveness of digital governance initiatives.

Incentives and Support Mechanisms: Governments can incentivize the adoption of e-government platforms through policies such as tax incentives, subsidies, and grants for technology adoption. Additionally, providing support mechanisms such as help desks, user assistance, and feedback channels can enhance user experience and satisfaction.

## Recommendations and Future Directions

Enhancing the effectiveness of e-government platforms in East Africa requires a multifaceted approach that addresses challenges while capitalizing on opportunities for innovation and improvement. Some recommendations for policymakers, government agencies, and stakeholders to consider [29, 30, 31].

a. **Strengthening Infrastructure and Connectivity**

Invest in expanding and upgrading ICT infrastructure, including broadband networks and mobile connectivity, to ensure widespread access to e-government platforms, especially in rural and underserved areas.

Foster public-private partnerships to leverage resources and expertise for infrastructure development and digital inclusion initiatives.

Explore innovative technologies such as satellite internet and mobile-based solutions to bridge the digital divide and reach remote communities.

b. **Promoting Digital Literacy and Awareness**

Implement digital literacy programs targeting citizens of all ages, with a focus on marginalized groups, to improve understanding and utilization of e-government services.

Launch public awareness campaigns to inform citizens about the benefits of e-government platforms, emphasize data privacy and security measures, and encourage active participation in digital governance processes.

Collaborate with educational institutions, community organizations, and media outlets to integrate digital literacy training into formal and informal learning environments.

c. **Enhancing User Experience and Engagement**

Conduct user-centered design research to understand user needs, preferences, and pain points, and iteratively improve the design and functionality of e-government platforms.

Implement multi-channel service delivery options, including mobile applications, SMS-based services, and interactive voice response (IVR) systems, to cater to diverse user preferences and access capabilities.

Establish feedback mechanisms and user support channels to solicit input, address grievances, and enhance user satisfaction with e-government services.

d. **Strengthening Governance and Capacity**

Enhance institutional capacity within government agencies through training, skills development, and knowledge sharing initiatives focused on digital governance strategies, project management, and cybersecurity best practices.

Foster a culture of innovation, collaboration, and transparency within government departments by incentivizing experimentation, cross-agency cooperation, and data-driven decision-making.

Establish mechanisms for monitoring and evaluation to assess the impact, effectiveness, and efficiency of e-government platforms, and use findings to inform policy and programmatic adjustments.

## Future Research Directions

Investigate the socio-economic impacts of e-government platforms on citizen empowerment, economic development, and social inclusion, using rigorous empirical methods and longitudinal studies.

Explore emerging technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), and Internet of Things (IoT) for enhancing the functionality and security of e-government platforms, while mitigating potential risks and ethical considerations.

Examine the role of e-government in crisis response and resilience-building, including disaster management, public health emergencies, and climate change adaptation, to inform future policy and investment priorities.

## CONCLUSION

Implementing these recommendations and embracing a collaborative, adaptive approach to digital governance, East African countries can unlock the transformative potential of e-government platforms to improve service delivery, promote citizen engagement, and drive sustainable development in the region. Continued research and innovation will be essential for navigating the evolving landscape of digital governance and maximizing its benefits for all stakeholders.

## REFERENCES


1. Ogada, Tom & Partey, Samuel & Ramasamy, Jayakumar & Owade, Joshua & Obunga, Patrick & Mibey, Sam. (2023). Overview of the science, technology and innovation landscape of Eastern Africa.
2. Alam, K. (2002/2003) "Public Accountability Enhances Government Performance in Risk Reduction: Assumptions, Evidence and Policy Implications from Two Kebeles in Ethiopia",a study on Public Accountability and Governance Performance in Risk Reduction conducted by ActionAid International in Ethiopia and Bangladesh – unpublished
3. Basu, Subhajit. (2004). E-government and developing countries: An overview. INTERNATIONAL REVIEW OF LAW COMPUTERS & TECHNOLOGY. 18. 109-132. 10.1080/1360086042000167479.
4. D.M. West, State and Federal E-government in United States. Available at http://www.insidepolitics.org/egovt01us.html , 2001
5. United Nations Division for Public Economics and Public Administration. Benchmarking Egovernment: A Global Perspective - Assessing the Progress of the UN Member States, 2001. Accessed on 2/1/2010, from http://pti.nw
6. Alzayed, Asaad. 2024. "Evaluating the Role of Requirements Engineering Practices in the Sustainability of Electronic Government Solutions" *Sustainability* 16, no. 1:433. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16010433
7. Rorissa, Abebe & Demissie, Dawit & Pardo, Theresa. (2008). Toward a Common Framework for Computing E-Government Index. 10.1145/1509096.1509181.
8. Adams, Samuel & Chima, Paul. (2023). E-government development indices and the attainment of United Nations sustainable development goals in Africa: A cross-sectional data analysis. European Journal of Sustainable Development Research. 7. 1-10. 10.29333/ejosdr/13576.
9. Jordan S, Sternad Zabukovšek S. Organizational Maturity and Sustainability Orientation Influence on DMS Life Cycle—Case Analysis. *Sustainability*. 2023; 15(5):4308. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054308
10. Kim, Dong-Young & Grant, Gerald. (2010). E-government maturity model using the capability maturity model integration. J. Systems and IT. 12. 230-244. 10.1108/13287261011070858.
11. Joshi, Pusp Raj, and Shareeful Islam. 2018. "E-Government Maturity Model for Sustainable E-Government Services from the Perspective of Developing Countries" *Sustainability* 10, no. 6: 1882. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10061882
12. Cobbold, Ian & Lawrie, Gavin. (2002). The development of the Balanced Scorecard as a strategic management.
13. Sharaf-Addin, H. H., & Fazel, H. (2021). Balanced Scorecard Development as a Performance Management System in Saudi Public Universities: A Case Study Approach. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, *17*(1-2), 57-70.
14. Yawson, R. M., & Paros, A. K. B. (2023). Systems Perspective of the Use of the Balanced Scorecard for Organization Development and Change. *Sage Open*, *13*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231218064
15. Abdallah, Sara & Fan, Ip-Shing. (2012). Framework for e-government assessment in developing countries: Case study from Sudan. Electronic Government. 9. 158 - 177. 10.1504/EG.2012.046267.
16. Tiika, Bernard John, Zhiwei Tang, Jacob Azaare, Joshua Caleb Dagadu, and Samuel Nii-Ayi Otoo. 2024. "Evaluating E-Government Development among Africa Union Member States: An Analysis of the Impact of E-Government on Public Administration and Governance in Ghana" *Sustainability* 16, no. 3: 1333. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16031333

17. Mfitumukiza, David, Gordon Y. Mwesigwa, Ellen J. Kayendeke, and Vincent B. Muwanika. 2024. "Local Context Capacity Building Needs for Climate Change Adaptation among Smallholder Farmers in Uganda: Policy and Practice Implications" *Climate* 12, no. 1: 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/cli12010010
18. Abera, Fikadu & Mulugeta, Wondaferahu & Melaku, Tesfaye. (2019). Impact of institutional quality on economic performance of Eastern Africa: a panel data analysis. Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah. 7. 169-182. 10.22437/ppd.v7i2.7863.
19. Belanger, France & Carter, Lemuria. (2009). The Impact Of The Digital Divide On E-Government Use. Commun. ACM. 52. 132-135. 10.1145/1498765.1498801.
20. Khaemba, Stella & Muketha, Geoffrey & Matoke, Nahason. (2017). FACTORS AFFECTING CITIZEN READINESS FORE-GOVERNMENT SYSTEMS IN KENYA. Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Sciences. 02. 59-67. 10.46565/jreas.2017.v02i02.003.
21. Alles, MG, Kogan, A, & Vosalheryi, M 2008, Audit Automation for Implementation of Continuous Auditing Principles: Principle and Problems, Irwin, London
22. Jaeger, Paul & Bertot, John. (2010). Designing, Implementing, and Evaluating User-centered and Citizen-centered E-government. IJEGR. 6. 1-17. 10.4018/jegr.2010040101.
23. Setiawan, Indra & Purba, Humiras. (2020). A Systematic Literature Review of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) Implementation. Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management. 1. 200-208. 10.7777/jiemar.v1i3.79.
24. Kaaya, Janet. (2003). Implementing e-Government Services in East Africa: Assessing Status through Content Analysis of Government Websites. Electron J E -Gov. 2.
25. Nkohkwo, Q. N., & Islam, M. S. (2013). Challenges to the successful implementation of e-government initiatives in sub-saharan africa: A literature review: EJEG. *Electronic Journal of E-Government*, *11*(2), 253-267. Retrievedfromhttps://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/challenges-successful-implementation-e-government/docview/1520561354/se-2
26. Ali, Makame & Mudiarasan, Kuppusamy & Apparow, Sannasai. (2023). SUCCESSFUL FACTORS INFLUENCING ADOPTION OF E-GOVERNMENT SERVICES IN ZANZIBAR CITY. International Journal of Law, Government and Communication. 8. 01-21. 10.35631/IJLGC.834001.
27. Alshehri, M. and Drew, S., (2011). E-government principles: implementation, advantages and challenges. International Journal of Electronic Business, 9(3), pp.255-270.
28. Guo, Y. (2010). August. E-government: Definition, goals, benefits and risks. In 2010 International Conference on Management and Service Science (pp. 1-4). Ieee.
29. Maslov A., Kalashnik O. et al. (2023), E-Governance in Africa 2024: Opportunities and Challenges, National Research University Higher School of Economics. – Moscow : HSE, 2023. – 154 p. –
30. Rarhoui K. (2023), E-government as a tool for the modernization of the public administration, PhD Thesis in Public Law, Mohammed V University in Rabat
31. Rarhoui K. (2023), "E-government, Digitization and State Modernization: Policy Dilemmas for Arab Countries in the Twenty-first Century", ESD Conference Book of Proceedings, Plitvice Lakes

CITE AS: Ugwu Jovita Nnenna, Ugwuanyi Ifeoma Perpetua, Asuma Mariita Nchaga, Tushabe Hadijah, Eric Mabonga and Tom Ongesa Nyamboga (2024). Evaluating the Effectiveness of E-Government Platforms in East Africa. IAA Journal of Arts and Humanities 11(1):10-17. https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJAH/2024/11.11017.11