Exploring the Ethics of Art Curation

Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the evolving ethical dimensions of art curation, emphasizing fairness, social justice, and professional responsibility in contemporary curatorial practices. From its historical roots in collection stewardship to its present role in cultural politics and digital representation, art curation increasingly engages with moral and philosophical challenges. Through thematic explorations ranging from curator roles, intellectual property rights, and technological influences, to case studies in representation and community impact, the study uncovers tensions between curatorial authority and public accountability. Commercialization, institutional interests, and cultural biases continue to challenge the neutrality and inclusivity of exhibitions. This paper argues that ethical curatorship must go beyond technical excellence to engage with the complexities of access, authenticity, historical justice, and collaborative community engagement. The discussion concludes with recommendations for ethical education and critical reflection to guide future curatorial strategies in a globalized, digitized, and ideologically contested art world.
Keywords: Art Curation Ethics, Curatorial Responsibility, Cultural Representation, Institutional Power, Visual Culture, Intellectual Property, Commercialization of Art.

INTRODUCTION

Fairness, social justice, and redemption are key elements of art curation ethics, which emphasize how art is received and engaged with. Effective curatorial practices foster understanding based on informed judgment. Art curation focuses on assessing and promoting art in relation to its conditions, format, taxonomy, and quality. Jill Carrick introduced distinct definitions for art curation and curatorship, broadening their meaning from mere management of collections to encompass practices that enhance appreciation and understanding of art. An art curator is described as the key agent facilitating meaningful and engaging art experiences. Curation also resolves contentious debates regarding art's focus, quality, and public accessibility. The moral implications of visual art's public meaning highlight potential issues of censorship and the impact of controversies surrounding art's origin, which can undermine fairness in the reception of art. These aspects raise longstanding questions about curation's fairness and quality while curatorial ethics delve deeper into broader philosophical inquiries. Additionally, creativity themes and playful elements in art are relevant to curatorial practices, presenting new explorative opportunities for the field. Thus, curatorial processes should embody principles of fairness, social justice, cross-utility, and redemption [1, 2].

Historical Context of Art Curation

Art curators have prepared, promoted, and installed exhibitions for museums and galleries. They gather, preserve, and interpret collections of national importance, requiring knowledge in communication technologies, art methods, and local history. As art institutions grew, so did job classifications within curation, which is a dynamic, evolving field. Modern museums and galleries fulfill social needs related to pleasure and religious fervor. At the end of the eighteenth century, art began to be treated as a commercial commodity, leading to the establishment of exhibition venues. With increasing numbers of artworks, exhibitions, auction houses, and commercial galleries became necessary, resulting in a new profession focused on collection management. Trained art historians, conservators, and registrars provided expertise in this area, marking the first distinction in art curation. Prior to the nineteenth century, exhibitions were mostly informal, allowing artists to showcase works to neighbors and sponsors.

However, as the demand for visibility grew, this practice waned. Innovations like those by Muybridge expanded the possibilities of art media. By the late nineteenth century, exhibition theatres emerged, capturing cultural and commercial achievements through international exhibitions. The twentieth century saw a rise in photographic documentation, solidifying the importance of photographic archives in institutions [3, 4].

The Role of the Art Curator

In recent years, the term curator has become commonplace, used in general communication to signify activities relating to organizing or collecting. However, the deeper ramifications of curatorial work go unnoticed. Curators at visual arts nonprofits are highly educated and trained professionals, differentiated from amateur collectors, docents, and event planners. They are scholarly ambassadors of the art, artists, cultures, and organizations that they serve, and at these institutions, the curatorial position is further distinguished from that of the director, education staff, development officer, or business manager. It is often questioned whether e-commerce, the internet, and social networking will render the information power of curators irrelevant, and this is sometimes feared by curators. However, art is neither the museum collection nor images of the museum collection, and curators interpret and safeguard these works of art, using their expertise, while upholding professional and ethical standards. Curators see artworks, consult the artists who made them, and determine the most appropriate way to present them. Curators take the long view of presentations, with half the time dedicated to research, image rights negotiation, writing, organizing, and budget planning, and the other half apportioned to overseeing installation, press, reception, and deinstallation, along with writing for the annual report, preparation for next year's fundraiser, etc. It takes a scholar to present the artist linearly and succinctly. Locally and globally, curators create compelling experiences of art, culture, and creativity that change how people understand themselves and the world by stimulating new perspectives, ideas, and feelings. They contextualize the works they hear. Listening with expertise, they hear and appreciate nuances the audience misses. They engage and collaborate with community members, donors, artists, scholars, colleagues, and others to achieve these goals. During that time, they cultivate relationships, confidence, and reciprocity. These alliances grow into invitations to bring art and ideas into diverse arenas and to help create opportunities for conversations among artists, scholars, and "ordinary" individuals. These alliances deepen the curatorial mission and have enormous value. The partnerships become infra-structural to writing, exhibiting, collecting, and interpreting. At times, they reshape the curatorial vision or the curator's understanding of its implications. They add dimensions and layers to the work, allowing narratives to spill over spaces and borders. Other times, they provide gentleness and respite, allowing the curator to bask in the beauty of artists and their work without a specific agenda. In order to create and preserve collaborations such that they deepen the work, all curatorial positions should strive to work collaboratively, internally, and externally, which fosters an appreciation and interest in their work, as well as advancing and enhancing it. All curators, regardless of their roles, should seek to mentor or be mentored [5, 6].

Ethical Considerations In Art Selection

Art curating is a complex profession that combines various perspectives and styles to produce innovative exhibitions. It is crucial for curators to maintain ethical standards to uphold personal, professional, and organizational integrity. Curators must avoid the impression of having exclusive access to artwork, especially from less available artists. They should remain cautious about favoritism when selecting works, regardless of intent. When offered significant gifts from artists, curators must consider disclosures from the donor, past interactions, and the organization's history with the artist. Prior to accepting a donation, curators should verify the artist's work for provenance and authenticity. If concerns arise, they should consult the organization's director and relevant authorities before declining an offer. Neutrality is essential in all discussions about purchases or donations, and curators should not favor specific friends or colleagues during meetings. All future artist inquiries should be directed to the appropriate acquisitions committee. Curators are encouraged to keep records of their communications, ensuring professionalism by using work-ordered devices and accounts. When artists approach curators, it may reveal emotional connections that can impact empathy. Recent tragedies may limit understanding due to insufficient time for emotional storytelling. Conversely, pure fantasy might keep audiences detached. Curators recognize historical injustices faced by marginalized communities, fostering empathetic responses. The physical representation of these experiences can enhance understanding, but there is skepticism around claims of

achieving a "superhuman" insight, which may imply an unrealistic grasp of the indescribable. The legitimacy of imaginative transposing may often reinforce a sense of superiority in understanding [7, 8].

The Impact of Commercial Interests

Curation is significantly influenced by the evolving art marketplace, with increasing concerns about the impact of commercial interests on public institutions since the early nineties. Over the last two decades, the art economy has shifted due to speculation in the art market, giving rise to the concept of the "ephemeral institution." This term reflects fears that public goods are being commodified and subjected to private interests. Numerous private museums and collections, such as the Daros-Alain Servais Foundation and the Pinchuk Art Centre, exemplify this trend. Curatorial practices have become cautious, with a reduced agenda on issues related to commerce and increased scrutiny of commercial imperatives. Despite such concerns, new constructions and developments continue unabated. Recently, mega-collectors have begun commissioning museums designed by renowned architects, leading to extravagant displays and hyper-museum catalogues. Museums have transformed into spectacles and commercial ventures, while cultural institutions like libraries and concert halls also benefit from similar commercial influences. This shift prompts a reevaluation of the role of museums in society. Furthermore, social media alters the perception of information, often aestheticizing it, while inquiries into new foundations are ongoing in journalistic investigations, though results have been limited [9, 10].

Intellectual Property Issues

With the growing digitization of art collections and an ever-expanding base of digital users, universities and museums around the world are facing the obligations and opportunities to make their collections accessible online. This activity has the potential to enhance scholarship, education, and the enjoyment of art and cultural heritage. Many museums have an altruistic urge to share their collections with the world. However, institutions planning to make art images available online should consider the compatibility of their policies and practices with copyright law before proceeding. The resultant clash can be detrimental to all concerned. This presents several such scenarios, identifies causes for conflicting objectives, and offers models for more effective practices [11, 12].

The Role of Technology in Curation

Technological advancements have opened avenues to discovering artworks, with seemingly endless images of contemporary art available online. While such access stimulates interest, it raises ethical questions for institutions as they expand their digital exposure. Institutions must seek to understand new technologies and evaluation systems, and adapt established values. The impulse to uncritically adopt, appropriate, or cannibalize these practices while diminishing artistic definitions through formatting must be reconsidered. Curation is charged with determining value and interpreting artworks. This value remains, but with greater visibility, it too often becomes market value. The critical role of interpretation in ubiquity is another charge. Reputations arise from unexpected discussions, often outside the institution or outside the canon, where valuation is of another kind. Curation must insist upon proffering a diversity of voices and codes of access. Curation must remain by and for its intention and other like institutions. When institutions take on technologies for their practical application, they must become adept at their philosophical precedent, who they serve, and their constituent dependencies. Nonprofit institutions serve the public good and will need to consider who constitutes the public, as contemporary technologies often default to primarily serving the upper and middle classes. They must resolve questions of value and access in a similarly public manner. Sound ethical principles must govern these practices to realize their many promises. Institutions are often proprietary and compartmentalized, even rarefied. Curators devise experiments tending the fragile ecosystem of connection; if that practice is to become fully available, institutional technology must be expanded or newly invented [13, 14].

Case Studies in Ethical Curation

The works of the UK's first Muslim and South Asian artist to be exhibited at a London festival in Hyde Park were curated by the UK's first Muslim and South Asian curators at the annual Park Events 'Art of Regeneration'. During a 15-minute audio loop, W №1 (2012) was continually invited to stare straight into the camera lens and into the faces of attendees; this was an uncomfortable fantasy of intrusion in front of a wide, high-traffic public space viewed from bustling roads, and formal provision for artist preservation of a local masonry through the role of a gallery sparked violent community and political protests. In 1974, a relatively unknown New Zealand artist received a two-person exhibition at a major national museum. The curator acknowledged her emerging status and lack of a comprehensive historical

record at the time. A subsequent curator noted that the weathered surfaces of the artist's best-known works, around a decade old at the time, had begun to bear a patina of publicity and become almost stolid in critical assessment for exhibitions and books. Board members and gallery owners remarked that while such shows had not emerged as well-publicized events, attendance trended upwards in a more organic manner. In 1984, two murals reflecting Māori populations and perspectives were deleted from public spaces by the city council, following a controversial debate over the city's developing ethnic diversity. A curator noted how the measly remnant of mana whenua had been ingratiated with a sense of reasonableness and reconciliation; the roar of descent became a whisper and shameful realpolitik. The adjacent citywide murals project offered a more dubious agenda where promises were made and not kept [15, 16].

The Future of Art Curation

Art curators have historically held a minor role in policy-making, often viewed as obscure custodians or connoisseurs. In today's multi-media landscape, their work gains visibility primarily through social media. New curator-as-choreographer practices aim to politicize the field, moving away from mere aestheticization. Curators' influence extends beyond exhibition sites, engaging in curating through diverse media and events, prompting questions on the impact of their curatorial expressions. Although curatorship is gaining prominence, it remains under-theorized in public policy and cultural governance. Traditionally seen as oppositional to politics, curatorship is a crucial yet overlooked area of policy-making, managing a significant portion of the global art landscape. In government documents and cultural policies, curators often serve as gatekeepers and custodians of national cultural representation. Current public policies focus on the changing status of artists in a stable cultural environment, while scholars are beginning to explore curatorial practices that reshape this landscape. There is a notable lack of empirical research into today's curatorial practices and their relationship with policy and representation within various institutional frameworks. The existing policies have not yet fully informed the evolving curatorial discourse, which still needs to address how it can critique and innovate within broader cultural governance [17, 18].

The Role of Education in Curation Ethics

The politics of curating art now spans social, political, and economic areas, often aiming to provoke specific audience reactions. Cultural framing encompasses expression, cognition, and perception, making curatorial decisions acts of representation. These choices highlight what matters to curators, shaping power dynamics between knowledge and audiences. Engaging with visual culture studies prompts questions about the relevance of selected cultural topics, influencing perceptions of the "real" world. Institutional power defines both the subjects deemed relevant and the ways in which audiences are addressed. This raises inquiries into audience engagement in curatorial practices, with a focus on how artists, scholars, and educators expand frameworks for understanding the world. Curatorial studies examine how adaptable approaches facilitate self-reflection on visual culture and its impacts on social interaction. This self-reflexivity moves beyond traditional public relations beliefs, exploring the unique epistemic and ontological rhythms of art and education co-imagining. Historical perspectives on curating reveal changes in institutional roles, highlighting shifts in curatorial and educational frameworks amid the burgeoning $15 billion global museum industry and the intertwining of art with branding in mega exhibitions [19, 20].

Legal Frameworks Governing Art Curation

Legal frameworks for art curation by nonprofits govern artwork acquisition, display, licensing, and commissions. Key aspects include documenting gifts and purchases, like signing IRS Form 8283 prior to display, and assessing any agreements that may limit the organization's control over use or display. Collaborations should be documented, focusing on ownership and compliance with display restrictions, including duration. It's essential to decide on renewing or exiting agreements and to track changes in costs or works for future exhibitions. Loaned works add complexity, as restrictions may hinder the organization's ability to loan, dictate display methods, and affect conservation. Curators must collaborate with financial and legal advisors to evaluate works for tax implications and potential repercussions. Considering the cultural context of the organization and the artist is crucial. The artist, organization, and their representatives should define goals and standards before commissioning. Curators should consult conservators on the commissioned work's viability. Financial impacts, including potential additional costs, should be assessed. Legal documentation must detail ownership, use rights, artist payments, display

conditions, interpretive materials, completion deadlines, and strategies to prevent incomplete works, such as segmented payments [21, 22].

Collaborations in Art Curation

Curatorial work takes place in a collaborative context. It is as imperative for curators to work closely with colleagues from other departments, such as education, public programming, development, marketing, graphic design, archives, and facilities, as it is to research an exhibition's artist or topic. Experience has taught that the problem-solving capabilities and far-reaching perspectives of colleagues in other departments are invaluable resources. Often, the input and feedback collected from colleagues help advance a project, especially one of great scope or complexity, when it feels unwieldy or stalled. Thoughts imparted during informal conversations, not just written comments, can spark the clarifying idea or solution to a real logistical obstacle. In the best of circumstances, work culminates in the formation of endowed models of cooperation, with teams of individuals coming together from disparate departments to aid in the execution of a project. The capacity for imagination expands to accommodate considerations made from multiple perspectives, and the possibility for generative disagreement helps clarify a vision. Transparency and generosity go a long way in cultivating inter-departmental cooperation within an organization, as does an avoidance of hierarchy with colleagues on other teams. Sharing opportunities to which painstakingly crafted documents give rise helps spread goodwill. Budgetary constraints and the specific skill set of each team should dictate resource allocation, rather than job titles. Ultimately, if curators wish to be informed of what is happening in other departments, and if professionals in their organization want to avoid redundant negotiations, they should initiate communication and cultivate a culture of teamwork as important as the intended assembly was for the exhibition. Colleagues in other departments want to help and usually want to know what is going on; curators should extend an invitation for them to do so. The best and most trusting relationships an individual will have in a career of curating will likely be unfriendly ones, where respect for each other's departments' varying functions is unshakeable [23, 24].

Evaluating Curatorial Success

Evaluating success can take many forms, from considering whether the audience understood the content to whether it generated useful discussion or experiences. Moreover, throughout the curation process, evaluation mechanisms often existed that augmented the formal evaluation, such as off-the-cuff comments during a meeting, an artist relaying a viewing experience over dinner, a visitor's post, or email comments. Each is affirming the success of an element that, while joyous, could also be wholly unpredictable. No matter the shape, Kasimu realized this feedback, from an artist or a visitor, represented success, delighting in a concerted understanding of how a project could go so "right". Recently, a public-facing project was executed at a community garden, resulting in an unexpected celebration of its genesis and community delight in its hidden charm. A crossroads where art, culture, and the social sciences intersect, representing the junction of many. Several comments resonated: "Thank you for activating this hidden gem!", "This should happen all the time!", "I had no idea this was here!" This highlighted success from the public audience's consideration of a success. Despite the evening's unexpected rain followed by gusty winds that almost halted the gathering, as dusk fell, spirits remained high. This is a joy rooted in curation and event management, consistent consideration of the audience. These comments were mirrored by the artists, and the cross-disciplinary nature of the project, venue, and audience, and potential opportunities for future iterations. Intersectional questions were posed to provoke consideration of how other venues, some of which had been operating silently for 2+ years, might be considered [25, 26].

Challenges Faced By Curators

Curating involves complex challenges faced by cultural institutions like museums and galleries, which often share similar expectations. While many embrace new technologies to reach wider audiences digitally, they frequently neglect issues related to censorship, provenance research, and repatriation of colonial artifacts, online publishing, digital curation, and privacy concerns. This oversight impacts the credibility of cultural institutions and curators, making it vital to discuss and publish these challenges to enhance curators' roles as scholarly influencers. Although ethical discussions are increasing in the art world, curators are underrepresented in such conversations. As key figures in both scholarly and public spheres, curators must engage with issues of integrity and professional standards. The lack of clearly defined standards by regional national art associations complicates the understanding of the curatorial role. Curation spans various contexts beyond traditional institutions, occurring in state galleries, local

museums, for-profit galleries, and even private collections. Informal events like public art projects, street art, and online exhibitions also play a part in curatorial work. It's essential to explore curators' capacities to create these opportunities, the existing literature on non-gallery curating, and the performance standards relevant to these diverse distribution methods [27-33].

CONCLUSION

The ethics of art curation occupy a pivotal space at the intersection of cultural stewardship, artistic expression, and social responsibility. As curators navigate evolving technologies, shifting political landscapes, and commercial pressures, the ethical stakes of their work deepen. This study has shown that curatorial decisions ranging from art selection and exhibition framing to audience engagement are inherently political acts of representation. They influence who is seen, whose stories are told, and how history is interpreted. The growing prominence of curators in public discourse necessitates a renewed commitment to ethical integrity, transparency, and critical self-awareness. Future curatorial practices must prioritize inclusivity, fairness, and dialogue, especially in addressing historical injustices and expanding access to underrepresented voices. Ethical curation, when guided by reflective practice and public accountability, has the potential not only to preserve cultural heritage but also to foster transformative understanding across communities and generations.

REFERENCES

1. Dartanto AS. Transforming Curatorial Practices: The Role of AI and Blockchain in Shaping an Ethical Art-Science Paradigm for Public Policy. International Journal of Creative and Arts Studies. 2024 Dec 20;11(2):140-64.
2. Downey A. An ethics of engagement: Collaborative art practices and the return of the ethnographer. Third Text. 2009 Sep 1;23(5):593-603.
3. Nyamboga TO, Ugwu OP, Ugwu JN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Ugwu CN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Biotechnological innovations in soil health management: a systematic review of integrating microbiome engineering, bioinformatics, and sustainable practices. Cogent Food & Agriculture. 2025 Dec 31;11(1):2519811.
4. Combrink T. Slave-based coffee in the eighteenth century and the role of the Dutch in global commodity chains. Slavery & Abolition. 2021 Jan 2;42(1):15-42.
5. Dzardanova E, Kasapakis V. Virtual reality: a journey from vision to commodity. IEEE Annals of the History of Computing. 2022 Sep 22;45(1):18-30. [HTML]
6. Snider DA. Managing arts organizations. Rowman & Littlefield; 2021 Dec 9.
7. Besana A, Esposito A, Vannini MC. It is not only a matter of masterpieces. Masters of economic performances in US art museums' thanks to relationship marketing. International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business. 2023;48(1):27-38. [HTML]
8. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Medical preparedness for bioterrorism and chemical warfare: A public health integration review. Medicine. 2025 May 2;104(18):e42289
9. George A. The curator's handbook: Museums, commercial galleries, independent spaces. Thames & Hudson; 2024 Nov 12.
10. Bertrand S. Contemporary curating, artistic reference and public reception: reconsidering inclusion, transparency and mediation in exhibition making practice. Routledge; 2021 Jul 31.
11. Wiratno TA, Callula B. Transformation of beauty in digital fine arts aesthetics: An artpreneur perspective. Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT). 2024 Jul 17;6(2):231-41. aptisi.or.id
12. Gerlieb A. TikTok as a new player in the contemporary arts market: a study with special consideration of feminist artists and a new generation of art collectors. InArts 2021 Jul 30 (Vol. 10, No. 3, p. 52). MDPI.
13. Samuelson P. Generative AI meets copyright. Science. 2023 Jul 14;381(6654):158-61.
14. Kavitha L. Copyright challenges in the artificial intelligence revolution: Transforming the film industry from script to screen. Trinity Law Review. 2023;4(1):1-8.
15. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.

16. Cordes J, Vogel R. Comparing employer attractiveness of public sector organizations to nonprofit and private sector organizations: An experimental study in Germany and the US. Review of Public Personnel Administration. 2023 Jun;43(2):260-87. sagepub.com
17. Mazzucato M. Governing the economics of the common good: from correcting market failures to shaping collective goals. Journal of Economic Policy Reform. 2024 Jan 2;27(1):1-24.
18. van Tilborgh Y. Civilising Attempts in Art and Islam: Muslim Artistic Performance Facing Social Orders in the UK. InBritish Muslims and Their Discourses 2023 Dec 29 (pp. 141-182). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
19. Clini C, Hornabrook J, Keightley E. Migration, Arts and the Negotiation of Belonging: An analysis of creative practices within British Asian communities in London and Loughborough. AmeriQuests. 2021 Feb 2;16(1).
20. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. Medicine. 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279.
21. Tornaghi C, Knierbein S. Part I Conceptual challenges Re-addressing public space in a relational perspective. Public space and relational perspectives: New challenges for architecture and planning. 2014 Dec 5:13.
22. Robbins N. From values to strong policy making—implementing collection-focused museology. ICOFOM Study Series. 2023 Oct 10(51 (1-2)):124-37.
23. Gawronski A. Curated from Within: The Artist as Curator. A Companion to Curation. 2019 Oct 21:232-61.
24. Krasny E, Perry L, editors. Curating as Feminist Organizing. Taylor & Francis; 2022 Oct 7.
25. Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Ugwu JN, Eze VH, Ugwu CN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB. Harnessing technology for infectious disease response in conflict zones: Challenges, innovations, and policy implications. Medicine. 2024 Jul 12;103(28):e38834.
26. Bolz A. A regulatory framework for the art market. Authenticity Forgeries and the Role of Art Experts. Switzerland: Springer. 2022:107-253. [HTML]
27. Budney J. Understanding Nonprofit Organizations. InBusiness Issues in the Arts 2022 Aug 8 (pp. 5-31). Routledge.
28. Bishop C. The social turn: Collaboration and its discontents. InThe Performance Studies Reader 2025 Jan 31 (pp. 250-257). Routledge.
29. Pardos ZA, Tang M, Anastasopoulos I, Sheel SK, Zhang E. Oatutor: An open-source adaptive tutoring system and curated content library for learning sciences research. InProceedings of the 2023 chi conference on human factors in computing systems 2023 Apr 19 (pp. 1-17). acm.org
30. Wang K, Zhang G, Zhou Z, Wu J, Yu M, Zhao S, Yin C, Fu J, Yan Y, Luo H, Lin L. A comprehensive survey in llm (-agent) full stack safety: Data, training and deployment. arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.15585. 2025 Apr 22. [PDF]
31. Yang K, Swope A, Gu A, Chalamala R, Song P, Yu S, Godil S, Prenger RJ, Anandkumar A. Leandojo: Theorem proving with retrieval-augmented language models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2023 Dec 15;36:21573-612. neurips.cc
32. Underwood JL. Ethical Curating for the Twenty-First Century: Curating Black and African Art. InInstitutional Change for Museums 2024 Aug 14 (pp. 125-135). Routledge.
33. Alamanos A, Rolston A, Papaioannou G. Development of a Decision Support System for Sustainable Environmental Management and Stakeholder Engagement. evaluation. 2021;10:11.