IAA Journal of Arts and Humanities 12(1):104-111, 2025.
©IAAJOURNALS
https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJAH/2025/121104111
www.iaajournals.org
ISSN: 2636-7297
IAAJAH121

Crisis Management: Case Studies from Major Corporations

Kato Bukenya T.

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

In an increasingly interconnected and volatile global economy, corporate crises have become both inevitable and highly consequential. This paper investigates crisis management strategies by analyzing high-profile corporate crises, including Johnson & Johnson's Tylenol tampering incident, the Volkswagen emissions scandal, the United Airlines passenger removal controversy, the BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill, and recent pandemic-related responses from Kimberly-Clark and Muji. Drawing from theories of crisis communication, framing, and leadership, this study explores how different organizations navigated crises across various industries. It assesses the role of framing, stakeholder communication, decision-making under pressure, and the efficacy of response strategies. Through comparative analysis and the evaluation of crisis preparedness, this paper highlights best practices, common pitfalls, and the critical role of leadership. The findings provide actionable insights into how corporations can build resilient crisis management systems that protect brand reputation, minimize harm, and recover stakeholder trust in the aftermath of unforeseen challenges.

Keywords: Crisis Management, Corporate Crisis Communication, Organizational Leadership, Crisis Response Strategies, Reputation Management, Case Studies, Risk Mitigation.

INTRODUCTION

Crisis management is the process organizations use to address unexpected events that threaten them or their stakeholders. This study examines popular crisis cases, their types, and stages, analyzing crisis management aspects. No organization is immune to crises, which often arise unexpectedly and vary in intensity and visibility. Organizations communicate with diverse audiences through spokespersons to alleviate pressure, employing various tactics and strategies. The corporate crisis communication examples discussed illustrate how organizations respond to catastrophic events, encompassing crucial communication strategies like press releases, apologies, or changing representatives. Such approaches shed light on organizational communication during crises. Corporate crises arise from human actions, organizational structures, economics, or technology, causing significant harm to lives and reputations. A crisis typically involves a threat to the organization, an element of surprise, and limited decision-making time. Triggered by unforeseen events or poor intentions, initial actions can influence the crisis outcome; some incidents may remain minor, while others escalate severely. With current globalization, businesses face increased competition and volatility, leading to numerous threats, whether from natural disasters or human actions. In today's fast-paced world, crises are increasingly unavoidable in both personal and organizational contexts [1, 2].

Understanding Crisis Types

All communication occurs within the context of a frame that sets the flow and boundaries of a communicative situation and provides meaning. The purpose of this paper is to describe the individual framing/sub-framing strategies of an organization to deeply analyze the city of Duke's communication with various audiences about three public health crises. Crisis managers frame a crisis by focusing attention on specific aspects of an event to construct meaning. Framing acts like media issuing a particular angle or a spin for a story. Framing provides a way to explain what happened, interpret its importance, and recommend actions. Each social group that defines the same situation interprets it using different schemata. This difference produces clashes of understanding, which often lead to conflict. Managing the framing battles during a crisis means gaining control of the media, media content, and media of the event. First, Duke tested various framing strategies and sub-strategies. Second, several different voices at Duke dealt with the event. Creating a communications sub-genre in which multiple voices respond and the original intent of the message can be altered is a difficult task. Third, the responses from Duke were heterogeneous, with some responses making commitments and other responses undermining them. Multiple voices can be used to creatively build, strategically destroy, and radically change a frame with a series of messages. Fourth, media responses are an important and effective vehicle for framing. The timing of responses is crucial, and the absence of action can lead to the absence of discourse. The theory of crisis framing explains how a response is constructed and what it accomplishes in the ongoing event. Current research focuses on how entities first frame the issues, while framing subsequently becomes involved with higher-level questions of agency, speech acts, and power. Case studies of several crises, candidly sharing responses, deconstruct framing, but are not otherwise systematically analyzed. There is a need for detailed and coherent research on the framing practices of trying to address abuse claims in an organization with a crisis response genre [3, 4].

The Importance of Crisis Management

Crisis management is a process that involves the way an organization deals with a major problem that is a threat to the company, organization, or individual, as well as its stakeholders. To make a crisis management plan, the organization must first complete a set of preparations and assessments. It protects and restores the reputation of the organization as well as things created, developed, or established. The onus of dealing with and fixing a crisis situation is on the organization that created it. In this process, crisis management helps in transforming the old system into a new one that looks more complete and successful than the earlier one. In any organization, crises can occur, which lead to confusion and uncertainty, resulting in the loss of brand identity. Analysis of any crisis shows that every event revolves around a critical point leading to disclosure or exposure. Every organization requires a department that operates and deals with crisis management. No one knows when and where a crisis can hit. If contingency measures are not in place, then reconstruction becomes 100 times harder. Examples in the present scenario show that companies faced issues when it was not able to maintain quality. Companies also fell due to intermittent issues that were not handled properly, bringing down the valuation. With proper crisis management and solution formulation systems in place, crises as well as laughter management could have been taken care of. Crisis management involves implementation. The success of change management is determined only after implementation. The crisis management team must first carefully examine the situation. A strategy for success must be created. This requires careful planning with a disaster recovery plan approved by senior management. In this step, it must be carefully analyzed, documented, and prepared for potential events surrounding the issue. A re-group meeting of the impact assessment team must be scheduled to analyze and plan a scenario posing 'what if.' This information will be coupled with the implementation team to affect potential casualties. If the crisis cannot be contained, identifying and minimizing consequences, ensuring succession, acknowledging the mistake, damage control, and repairing the image of the organization must be done [5, 6].

Case Study: Johnson and Johnson

Johnson & Johnson is the world's largest and most broadly based health care company. As part of this commitment, the Company strives to provide consumers with diverse products to meet the broad range of health and personal care needs of people of all ages, at each stage of their lives. Over the years, Johnson & Johnson's 230 operating companies throughout the world have pursued a wide variety of goals and have undertaken many diverse activities in carrying out this commitment. Johnson & Johnson reaches consumers in more than 175 countries and has more than 70 manufacturing facilities in 25 countries. Johnson & Johnson has steadily grown, through internal expansion and acquisitions, into one of the world's preeminent health care companies. Because the health care industry is ever-changing and multi-faceted, research and development is a paramount priority and an ongoing corporate commitment with a focus on discovering, developing, and marketing new healthcare and medical products. On September 30, 1982, Johnson & Johnson's image was shattered as the Chicago area media announced that seven people had died after taking extra-strength Tylenol capsules. Promptly after, a massive advertising campaign was initiated by the company with such catchphrases as "You won't be seeing us for a while," putting a hiatus on all advertising in several media, including radio, TV, and billboards. This resulted in intense international media coverage of the company's advertising messages and gave a dramatic treatment to the entire event: the deaths from laced Tylenols and the company's response. The local focus of the media was shifted towards questioning and coverage of the health care industry, with a particular focus on the capsules and the manufacturers. However, coverage quickly spread to foreign news outlets, and the strategy was co-opted by competitors. In the following months, over twelve news specials in foreign countries solely focused on the murders. The negative image of Tylenol and the Johnson & Johnson Company, in turn, spread quickly through the foreign media. By the end of October, the events had been publicized in most of the major foreign news outlets. As Tylenol remained in the consumer marketplace and on the monitors of the news networks, so too was the company's fate left uncertain. The health care industry was put on trial as news discussions aired of the absolute need for tamper-proof packaging in the industry. Nothing had gone as planned [7, 8].

Case Study: Volkswagen Emissions Scandal

Volkswagen (VW) is a major car manufacturer owned by the Volkswagen Group. Despite facing various crises, none compared to the massive scandal that erupted in September 2015. Investigators from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the USA found that VW had installed defeat devices in the software of its diesel engines, enabling higher emissions than allowed under normal driving conditions. This manipulation aimed to pass regulatory emissions standards for nitrous oxides (NOx). The situation was particularly damaging for VW as the company sought to gain a foothold in the declining US market. Consequently, cars made by VW Group from 2007 onwards are being recalled. VW emphasizes that 21 million people travel in their vehicles daily. Efforts to address the fallout included submitting documents and a plea of guilty. The crisis has now shifted from engineering issues to deeper problems in production and company culture, revealing that some employees were aware of the misconduct. Investigations into potential software manipulation in other engine types are underway, hinting at broader implications for the manufacturing industry. The global manipulation of vehicle emissions has sparked an international response, with the long-term impact of this scandal potentially mirroring that of Enron's fraudulent accounting practices. In light of the situation, VW removed CEO Martin Winterkorn, who claimed ignorance of the defeat devices. Further inquiries into the extent of manipulation show that the engineering teams in Germany had the capability for remote control, although constraints limited external adjustments. VW's widely used diesel engines are now under scrutiny from multiple countries, raising issues of national security and passenger safety. Collecting data on the manipulation is crucial for understanding the extent of misconduct and may influence the regulators' penalties [9, 10].

Case Study: United Airlines Passenger Removal

One of the most notable crises of this era was United Airlines (UA) Flight 34 on 9 April 2017, which flew from Chicago to Louisville. Amid overbooked seats, security removed a passenger to fill a seat. Videos of the incident circulated rapidly on social media, amplifying the situation and raising global awareness. The crisis sparked intense discussions on airline overbooking, passenger rights, and police conduct on flights. Reports suggested United would face repercussions long after the incident. Under pressure, UA's Senior VP issued a “Deeply Sorry” statement on 10 April. The next day, CEO Oscar Munoz apologized to the removed passenger and committed to reviewing policies to prevent future occurrences. He also addressed concerns about compensation and policy improvements. The crisis heightened emotions and drew trolls and discrimination on UA's social media. Despite this, before the incident, there were no signs of backlash. Positive memories of United's history, including its response to terrorism, surfaced as distractions from the crisis. The merger with Continental Airlines was also highlighted during this time. Initially, online reactions were relatively mild and supportive. However, in the post-crisis period, UA faced criticism for an alleged lack of empathy towards traveling mothers and passengers with urgent needs. The incident resulted in significant online engagement in social media discussions following the crisis [11, 12].

Case Study: BP Oil Spill

The Deepwater Horizon drilling rig explosion in April 2010, about 50 miles off Louisiana's coast, resulted in the largest oil spill in U.S. history and killed 11 workers. Owned by one company and operated by a multi-national energy firm, the rig leased the well from an oil giant. The immediate impact saw the Gulf of Mexico’s beaches and wetlands covered in oil and posed a serious leadership challenge for the company, as it faced massive economic losses and a damaged reputation due to its history of safety violations and dangerous operations. The company halted production of 20,000 barrels a day from the well and acknowledged its liabilities. Discussions with the Coast Guard began focused on preventing oil from reaching shore, while remediation actions received increasing attention. The explosion forced thousands to evacuate their homes, with police and the Coast Guard monitoring the sea for stagnant oil. Thousands of sailors sought contracts while protestors created a stark visual demand for accountability. By week three, oil was mapped in waterways and on shore, impacting fisheries. Lawyers and reporters searched for information amidst a historical context of neglect and oversight, with economists analyzing economic damages in Louisiana, highlighting the extensive effects on fishing territories and local economies [13, 14].

Crisis Communication Strategies

Kimberly-Clark, a leading global health and hygiene company, faced a crisis during the pandemic when numerous questions arose regarding its preventive measures and product shortages. Even though Kimberly-Clark had reportedly paid attention to quality and safety in its supply chain, it adopted a unitary crisis response strategy through media releases on its website, timely information release via social media, and acknowledgement of complaints. This strategy allowed Kimberly-Clark to control the spread of information in the media and prevent waves of rumors on social media. By contrast, another corporation, Muji, experienced an image crisis in China when a major media outlet reported that the retailer's products contained harmful polyurethane. In the single-step case, Muji's initial reactive response was vague and evasive, which further exacerbated its reputation problem and caused a decrease in sales. After being caught flat-footed, the company failed to gain consumer trust in its response attempt. An in-depth analysis via different data sources and dimensions reveals the logic behind the differences between the two corporations in handling a crisis. Then, comparative analyses are done on the two companies' different crisis communication responses by measuring the dimensions of crisis perception, reputation, and response effectiveness. Lastly, managerial implications and recommendations are discussed. As an illustrative example, it sheds light on crisis communications in the pandemic context, interactive crisis communication research avenues and possibilities, and various extents of harmfulness, and offers asset-saving implications for management teams of organizations around the world. It also seeks to help improve the understanding of multi-firm crises and the consequent responsibility logic and news framing [15, 16].

Developing a Crisis Management Plan

Corporate crises arise from natural disasters, man-made disasters, accidents, errors, or misconduct. In the past, desks, notebooks, and files were important, but with the rapid advancement of technology, war rooms have become equipped with the latest apps, hardware, and software, while monitoring the news and social media in real-time. The corporate crisis will create several groups of diverse constituencies: customers, affected communities, employees, governmental regulatory authorities, investors, media, and public opinion. Each constituency will demand immediate attention and likely become increasingly hostile as time passes. A sample timeline of crisis preparedness will be discussed. The budget, carefully timed, will achieve goals. The corporate crisis team will be established, chaired by the chief executive officer and including the chief financial officer, head of communications, head of investor relations, and the lead lawyer. Before the team is designated publicly, it must be well-trained, thoroughly rehearsed, and experienced with immediate authority over the corporate agenda for as long as the crisis drags on. Conspicuously absent from this eclectic group of specialists is the chief operating officer, who is the most challenged by the crisis. This organizational design is because the COO will be under siege at the front line and require protection from corporate dealings in the world's newsrooms and capital markets. Crisis expert Laurence Barton submits that preparedness, candor, rehearsal, and anticipation of the needs of stakeholders define the difference between managers who shine and those who stumble in a crisis. The smooth transition from a crisis that plagues the corporation is nearly seamless and requires an all-hands-on-deck committee comprising the specialists, serving complementary roles, advising the decision-makers, and spokespersons. Expertise from the CFO will be needed to assure the fiscal solvency of the company during the crisis, while marketing experts will show how sensitive business issues drive the decisions of the corporation during the crisis. Investors' concerns require direct involvement from investor relations, which will provide management with a credible format for public consumption of the corporation's decisions and actions. Similarly, the lawyers will dictate much of the investment in credibility, assisting the other specialists to temper confrontational communications with caution, assessing the impact of ongoing discourse, and advising how to absorb the specialty efforts. Hence, in many instances, lawyers will be prepared with carefully written notes for oral communications to the media [17, 18].

Role of Leadership in Crisis Management

Crises affect not only individual organizations but also entire sectors, diminishing organizational reputation and drawing criticism from customers, media, and officials. Employees feel significant impacts too. The approach leaders take in managing crises is crucial. This study examines leadership roles in navigating unexpected, avoidable, and long-term crises, focusing on responding to immediate and sustained effects. Effective leadership quality, the insights of analysts, and strategies for proactive advancements in crisis management are examined. Reports show political leaders were perceived as overly focused on entities, often regarded as unethical or indifferent, a view reinforced by questionable resource acquisition methods. Leaders faced confusion, intense media scrutiny, and societal pressures that complicated their decision-making. Some effective decisions were made, but critical aspects were missed. New issues emerged, presenting opportunities for skilled organizers to engage networks. Following the crisis, inquiries highlighted both immediate questions and long-term strategy adjustments. Establishing a comprehensive guideline for managing organizational dynamics and crises could have provided clarity, missed in the pre-crisis framework. This gap hindered timely actions, such as rehiring ex-employees. In crises, clear hierarchical communication is vital for effective responses. A dedicated team from HR and senior management should have facilitated communication regarding employee responsibilities and benefits, addressing concerns about exit packages and holding meetings with former staff [19, 20].

Ethical Considerations in Crisis Management

For decades, crisis management had a reputation as an industry that neglected ethics once a crisis had begun. Instead of being seen as a spiritual movement that might save organizations from the crisis in the first place, its abilities were often seen as appropriate for cotton candy floss at a carnival, a dazzling distraction for times of trouble. While such possibilities might have existed, they had to date remained undeveloped. If an organization devoted time to the creation of ethical decision-making norms and protocols, then the organization would know the right choice well in advance of the crisis, and so would the journalist seeking an answer. In this sense, they could be seen as social pre-emption. In addition, they rid organizations of the knee-jerk nature that so often ruins the prescriptive responses to crises in business. Substantively, thought through ethical codes should prevent organizations from doing very wrong things in crises. If they prepared enough about values and ethics, then they need not lie about how many and what sort of bodies were found and where the workers went for the missing paycheck. While most organizations and leaders are of fairly good character and would not initiate such behaviours, many planners are overtly cynical about the ethics of governments and organizations. Past events suggested that most organizations would not have a simple course of action that, if taken, ensured success. The speculation about the limits of the efficacy of ethics and the various behaviours of different organizations and leaders simply provides more interesting material. Crisis management strove to reduce equifinality by steering the behaviour of organizations. In addition, any part of the industry and its extant behaviours that routinely dispense useless advice and do not change should be studied and debated as publicly and thoroughly as possible [21, 22].

The Role of Social Media in Crises

Social media has quickly emerged as a powerful communication platform for both individuals and organizations. It enables users to create and instantly share messages with a broad audience, impacting an organization's image positively or negatively. Positive customer experiences can be promoted, while negative incidents can attract unwanted attention. Previously, dissatisfied customers would typically share their experiences with friends or family, but now they can easily broadcast complaints online through various platforms, often without the organization knowing in time to respond effectively. Once shared online, content remains accessible indefinitely, highlighting the potential risks of social media use. However, it also offers organizations a means to engage directly with stakeholders through two-way communication. This allows for prompt issue resolution before situations escalate. As social media use rises, organizations must integrate these tools into their crisis management strategies, which can either mitigate or exacerbate crises. Effective use of social media in disseminating information during a crisis can help manage the impact, but many organizations fail to utilize it properly during these events. Crises can disrupt normal operations, cause physical harm to stakeholders, damage reputations, and even jeopardize an organization's existence. Therefore, timely and effective crisis communication plans are vital to minimizing harm to both the organization and its stakeholders. Crisis management professionals must recognize the inherent threats posed by crises and the need to protect organizational values [23, 24].

Post-Crisis Analysis and Recovery

After a crisis has passed, it is important to carry out a thorough analysis of what happened in order to gauge the organization's performance and draw lessons for the future. This is particularly important for future generational leaders, those in the organization with sufficient status to hear the revelations and make the changes necessary to avoid similar mistakes in the future. Though not required, there are two avenues to conducting an analysis and recovery cycle following a crisis. One is internal, using organizational resources and staff to analyze and make recommendations. The advantage to this route is that it is low cost and likely to engender a low-key approach with strategic recommendations that are sensitive to political realities. The disadvantages are a lack of independence, limitations in skill and experience, and possible bias. The second is to hire an outsider to conduct the analysis, perhaps a public relations firm with crisis experience. The advantages of this route are depth of experience, independence, breadth of experience, and controversial recommendations. Its disadvantages are potentially high cost, quality variability, and possible exposure of sensitive material. If an internal analysis is chosen, it is often best to begin with a review of the publicly available documentation of the crisis. This analysis should start with the initial preparation materials used by the organization, if available, analyzing those materials against what actually happened in the crisis, tracing the evolution of the event, and looking at any post-crisis press releases and documents. It is also important to solicit the views of those in the organization who experienced the crisis. These views should be solicited from individuals not directly involved in the management of the crisis in order to avoid bias, but individuals who are aware of the implications. Such interviews provide sensitive insights into the context surrounding the crisis and the organization's thinking. It is also useful to review other organizations' analyses of the crisis and their public statements regarding the events [25, 26].

Future Trends in Crisis Management

Crisis management and communication is an evolving and dynamic field constantly adapting to new and emerging challenges. Nevertheless, while there will be many new developments in the future, it is still possible to identify some major trends. Firstly, it is important to note that the emergence of digital communication technologies has massively increased the speed and reach of public information, spreading globally. Consequently, today, many issues, crises, and events explode globally in hours and minutes rather than days or weeks. This has created a demand for quicker reactions and responses to unfolding situations on behalf of companies involved. Consequently, a multitude of communication channels is today utilized to disseminate information, constituting a challenge for a well-orchestrated response. It is a particular challenge for large organizations and companies that still cling to centralized and bureaucratic organizational structures with multi-level hierarchies. This makes it increasingly difficult to act quickly and respond to situations in real-time and undermines the relevance and significance of prior company policies or plans regarding crises. Consequently, these companies would likely experience problems regarding the assembly of a sensible and effective response to unfolding crises. Another consequence is information overload, or fire-hose, pummeling stakeholders with information requests regarding an unfolding or imminent crisis. In these situations, traditional communication media can be difficult to apply because they take time to prepare and distribute. Instead, companies have begun to utilize digital and social media platforms to proactively provide relevant stakeholders with more real-time information. It should be noted that responding to information overload can, on the one hand, notify stakeholders about the importance of an event, but may, on the other hand, provoke nationwide panics and massive safety evacuations. As the proliferation of information channels is likely to continue in the future, there is a grave concern for both scholars and professional crisis management practitioners regarding future challenges [27, 28].

CONCLUSION

Crisis management is not merely a reactive process but a strategic function vital to sustaining organizational integrity in the face of disruption. The case studies analyzed demonstrate that the timing, transparency, and tone of a response greatly influence public perception and recovery outcomes. Successful crisis responses, such as Johnson & Johnson's swift action and clear communication, reveal the power of ethical leadership and proactive planning. In contrast, failures like the Volkswagen emissions scandal and the United Airlines incident show the long-term reputational damage caused by poor communication and a lack of empathy. Furthermore, the framing of crises through media and internal narratives significantly shapes stakeholder engagement. To effectively navigate crises, organizations must invest in preparedness, designate empowered leadership teams, rehearse response protocols, and maintain transparent stakeholder communication. Ultimately, the lessons drawn from these global corporations underscore that crisis management is not only about mitigating risk but also about seizing opportunities to demonstrate accountability, reinforce values, and emerge stronger.

REFERENCES

1. Reddy CK. The Role of Crisis Management for the Sustainability in the Global Scenario–A Case Study. Ushus Journal of Business Management. 2014;13(1):65-76.
2. Cook, R. (2005). An Eventful Year. *Rhodes Cook Letter*, *6*(1-6), 0000102202155842911035.

3. Jiang X, Kamarudin S, Mahamed M, Guo J, Wu X. A Comparative Analysis of Crisis Communication Strategies: Handling Faculty Academic Misconduct and Sexual Misconduct in Chinese Higher Education Institutions. SAGE Open. 2025 Mar;15(1):21582440251328551. sagepub.com
4. Noya F, Carr S, Freeman K, Thompson S, Clifford R, Playford D. Strategies to facilitate improved recruitment, development, and retention of the rural and remote medical workforce: a scoping review. International Journal of Health Policy and Management. 2021 Nov 15;11(10):2022. nih.gov
5. Eriksson, P., & Hallberg, N. (2022). Crisis management as a learning system: Understanding the dynamics of adaptation and transformation in-between crises. *Safety science*, *151*, 105735.
6. Lebow, R. N. (1986). Nuclear Crisis Management: A Dangerous Illusion. *Bulletin of Peace Proposals*, *17*(2), 107-112.
7. Masadak, A. M. (2023). Crisis Management in Business: Lessons Learned and Strategies for the Future. □□□□ □□□□ □□□□□□□□ □□□□□ □□□□□□ *(NAJSP)*, 368-380.
8. Ostrowski, H. (1993). Drug makers prescribe cure for ailing reputation. *The Public Relations Journal*, *49*(10), 18.
9. Cheng, J. (2023). Analysis of Volkswagen's Diesel Performance Scandal. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, *11*(12), 35-42.
10. Marino M, Parrotta P, Sala D, Valletta G. The Volkswagen emissions scandal: Exploring the role of environmental concern and social norms. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management. 2024 Sep 1;127:103019. [HTML]
11. Aşcı HB, Koçak RD, Dalmış AB, Göçmen FD. Managing Ebola and covid-19 crises for aviation industry: did industry learn how to learn?. Revista de Investigaciones Universidad del Quindío. 2022 Apr 22;34(1):6-20. uniquindio.edu.co
12. Gil, R., Kim, M., & Zanarone, G. (2022). Relationships under stress: Relational outsourcing in the US airline industry after the 2008 financial crisis. *Management Science*, *68*(2), 1256-1277.
13. Stroope S, Slack T, Kroeger RA, Keating KS, Beedasy J, Sury JJ, Brooks J, Chandler T. Deepwater Horizon oil spill exposure, industry sector, and child health. Population Research and Policy Review. 2021 Mar 6:1-21. columbia.edu
14. Schwacke LH, Marques TA, Thomas L, Booth CG, Balmer BC, Barratclough A, Colegrove K, De Guise S, Garrison LP, Gomez FM, Morey JS. Modeling population effects of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on a long-lived species. Conservation Biology. 2022 Aug;36(4):e13878. wiley.com
15. Cunningham, L. A. (2021). Lessons from quality shareholders on corporate governance practice, research and scholarship. *Bus. & Fin. L. Rev.*, *5*, 1.
16. Papazoglou, A. (2025). How did our rivers and seas get polluted by sewage?.
17. Robson, W. B., & Dahir, N. (2023). Fiscal COVID: The Pandemic's Impact on Government Finances and Accountability in Canada. *Commentary-CD Howe Institute*, (643), 0_1-32.
18. Pickren Jr, W. E., & Schneider, S. F. (2005). *Psychology and the National Institute of Mental Health: A historical analysis of science, practice, and policy*. American Psychological Association.
19. Kirkland, F. R. (1996). Can soldiers keep peace? A study of the recent history of the psychological dimensions of the US Army. *The Journal of Psychohistory*, *23*(4), 427.
20. Sohn, Y. J., & Lariscy, R. (2012). Resource-based crisis management: The important role of the CEO's reputation. *Journal of Public Relations Research*, *24*(4), 318-337.
21. Cooke, P. (2024). The dark side of KIBS agency, the creative economy, and regional sustainability. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *10*(1), 100177.
22. Singleton, J. (2023). Origins of disaster management: the British mine rescue system, c. 1900 to c. 1930. *Business History*, *65*(6), 983-1004.
23. Gupta, S., Jain, G., & Tiwari, A. A. (2023). Polarised social media discourse during COVID-19 pandemic: evidence from YouTube. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, *42*(2), 227-248.
24. Yap SF, Xu Y, Tan L. Coping with crisis: The paradox of technology and consumer vulnerability. International Journal of Consumer Studies. 2021 Nov;45(6):1239-57. mq.edu.au
25. Alao AI, Adebiyi OO, Olaniyi OO. The interconnectedness of earnings management, corporate governance failures, and global economic stability: A critical examination of the impact of earnings manipulation on financial crises and investor trust in global markets. Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting. 2024 Oct 30;24(11):47-73. peerreviewarticle.com

26. He, Z., Huang, H., Choi, H., & Bilgihan, A. (2023). Building organizational resilience with digital transformation. *Journal of Service Management*, *34*(1), 147-171.
27. CHARLES, U., & REX, U. (2025). ANALYSIS AND BENEFITS OF MASSIVE MIMO DEPLOYMENT AND ITS MITIGATING EFFECTS OVER DATA RATE AND RADIO LINK RELIABILITY. *International Journal of Applied and Advanced Engineering Research*.
28. Efitrianisa, S. N. (2024). Transformation of Indonesia's Communication System in the Era Of Digitalization. *Zona Education Indonesia*, *2*(2), 305-316.

CITE AS: Kato Bukenya T. (2025). Crisis Management: Case Studies from Major Corporations. IAA Journal of Arts and Humanities 12(1):104-111.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJAH/2025/121104111