

# Communication in Policy Development: Legal Perspectives

Asiimwe Kyomugisha T.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

## ABSTRACT

Effective communication is central to policy development, particularly in the contemporary landscape where legal, technological, and ethical considerations intersect. This paper examines communication as a regulatory and participatory tool in policymaking, emphasizing its legal foundations and implications. It examines how communication shapes the legitimacy, transparency, and inclusivity of policies, and how legal frameworks can enhance or constrain this process. Stakeholder engagement, technology integration, ethical concerns, and advocacy strategies are critically analyzed within a legal context. Additionally, the paper addresses the challenges posed by vague legislative language, digital governance, and public-private dynamics. Ultimately, the study proposes that clear legal standards, supported by ethical communication practices and technological tools, are essential for building accountable, inclusive, and effective policy systems.

**Keywords:** Policy development, communication, legal frameworks, governance, stakeholder engagement, ethics, media regulation.

## INTRODUCTION

The birth of a policy is, in a way, likened to a joint-venture business. A person can say his ideas on what's wrong with the existing laws and propose his brilliant ideas in the public forum or an unnamed policy paper, say about a social media bill, welfare bill, or the like. But the whole subject then belongs to the state. This is because a policy subject's preparation, process, and enactment use state apparatuses and their instruments. Hereafter, a policy, although claimed by a private person, will no longer be 'owned' by that person. The destiny of the policy is in the hands of the state. It may change the original versions and/or incorporate them with other priority policy subjects. The name of the subject creator will no longer be mentioned (at least in official documents) and may be unknown to the public (even to the people within the policy domain). Policy is a regulator. It regulates that there is a thing that should be done and a thing that should not be done. A policy format is a combination of legislative-referencing government policies and executive-referencing nonlegislative government policies or regulatory papers. In what follows, regulatory papers will also be named as policy. In a way, the sole creator of a policy is a state. In general, the general public and even the mass media appear to suggest and comment on what policies are more and less reasonable (or too outrageous). Nevertheless, this type of policy proposal is informal and tends to be ignored. It is different from a proposal from a legislator; it has more importance and priority [1, 2].

### The Role of Communication in Policy Making

Policy-makers are confronted with a multitude of recently arisen issues of great complexity and diversity. On a global or contextual level, terrorism and the globalization of the economy are some of the most salient issues dominating the scene. The most commendable solutions are those that accord with a grand, far-reaching vision, integrating either a wide range of aspects of societies or a broad swath of territories and domestic institutions. On the level of governance mechanisms, the proliferation of policy actors in the

context of globalization creates an ever more vibrant and dynamic arena for policy development and adversarial contests, posing challenges to the ability of states to direct the behaviour of the most salient actors. The increasing vacuity of the conventional understanding of what governments do has led to a quest for alternative modes by which governance assumptions are held and policy processes developed. At the most rudimentary level, communication involves the transmission of information via a channel to a receiver. The transmission may be immediate and direct, e.g., via spoken language in face-to-face exchanges, or delayed and indirect, e.g., via writing, typing, singing, drawing, gesturing, etc. The relevant channel may be a space where the sender does something to convey the desired message. The act of communication may give rise to commitments regarding behaviour or obligations upon the state. At the governmental level, communication extends beyond simple transmission of desired information to include serious consideration of the legitimacy of governance assumptions and prospective mechanisms by which governance functions are performed. In such contexts, suggested messages may be of great complexity and diversity [3, 4].

#### **Legal Frameworks Governing Policy Communication**

A legal framework of protective and prohibitive legislation is essential for formal policy communication, governing necessary structures and processes. Without this framework, informal structures arise, leading to ad hoc communication that fails to address comprehensive public policy needs. Establishing a formal policy communication law, akin to deliberation law, is critical. This framework will limit policymakers' discretion over disseminated information and clarify communication responsibilities. It should include incentives for public policy communicators and media to support an effective communication system. To enhance public policy communication, the government must create more accessible, timely documents related to new policies, cancellations, or amendments. This law will also align with the public's right to know, providing access to information and protecting sources and whistle-blowers. Such a framework ensures public rights in policy communication and mandates government obligations to inform the public while safeguarding personal privacy and journalistic confidentiality. Lastly, a dual media regulatory framework, combining statutory and self-regulation, is necessary in response to media privatization trends. Statutory regulation will mitigate harmful practices, while self-regulation will promote ethical journalism and monitor media performance [5, 6].

#### **Stakeholder Engagement in Policy Development**

Engagement with Europe's citizens has gained prominence on the EU political agenda, addressing criticisms of distance and legitimacy. The EU has implemented institutional reforms and advanced policies to enhance communication and engagement. This shift moves from 'information diffusion' and 'two-way communication' to a cooperative platform approach with stakeholders. Public interest is often seen as competitive among interest groups, raising questions about the normative role of these engagement processes. Stakeholder engagement involves actions by governmental or non-governmental actors to enable diverse participation in decision-making throughout the policy cycle. It promotes participatory equity, deliberative inclusiveness, and procedural transparency, enhancing democratic legitimacy and policy quality. 'New-generation' engagement processes advance from top-down methods to collaborative, integrative policymaking, decentralizing participation from traditional legislative channels to public and online platforms [7, 8].

#### **The Impact of Communication on Policy Outcomes**

The complex nature of governance complicates the assessment of communications and governance outcomes. Media can influence governance positively or negatively, depending on context and choices. Communicative environments can either support or hinder governance by limiting the voices of the marginalized. For instance, poorly funded public broadcasters in developing states often fail to represent the poor, leading to a lack of accountability and citizen awareness regarding democratic processes. In such situations, the governance capacity is ineffective, and mass communications do not enhance living conditions. Alternatively, providing comprehensive economic and institutional information can foster better governance through informed decisions. The relationship between communication and governance features many nuanced possibilities. No straightforward hierarchy correlates communicability with governance levels, as contexts vary from legacy media impacts to state-controlled digital environments. Governance itself spans from authoritarianism to unstable anarchies, including unique cases like mediocracy and post-human governance. The media's role in governance remains complex and

ambiguous. Research is sparse due to the difficulties in establishing operational rules and achieving significant findings. Therefore, an in-depth macro-regional analysis of media freedoms and governance is essential, incorporating insights into media operations, the dynamics of news and power, and the conditions under which media impacts governance [9, 10].

### **Barriers to Effective Communication in Policy Development**

In policy development, ineffective communication hampers building a solid legal framework. Public policy and legislation involve complex interactions among various actors, but often fail to achieve "good law." Failures are attributed to the organizations responsible for formulating and implementing rules affecting daily life. An acknowledgment of the legal content within policy is crucial; neglecting this can lead to weak or conflicting provisions. Cognitive models of communication can highlight obstacles, or "friction points," encountered by policymakers, lawyers, and analysts. Public sector organizations are complex and operate under many laws, yet much of their work remains free from legal scrutiny. The drafting of legislation is usually entrusted to a limited number of experts, disconnected from the lengthy negotiations between executive and legislative branches, making it hard to discern the legal content of policy. Vague phrasing permits lawyers significant discretion in interpreting policy intentions. Moreover, lawyers drafting legislation often exist in an interpretive microcosm that differs from their policy-focused colleagues. To enhance legal understanding, jurists should consider publicly sharing and working from models based on consistent explanations of legal acts governed by law, judges, or other authorities [11, 12].

### **The Role of Technology in Policy Communication**

The list of different technologies that can facilitate better communication when developing policies is extensive. In these notes, the technologies have been broken down into broadcasting and two-way media that can raise awareness and encourage participation; transfer tools that help translate complex ideas into local languages; and simulation and modeling tools for visualizing the dynamics of systems and their possible future developments. Broadcasters and two-way media can raise awareness and encourage participation. Broadcasting refers to media used to transmit information to audiences in a one-way manner. This can include newspapers, radio, and television. Two-way media allow communication to take place in a non-hierarchical manner, with both citizens and officials free to speak to each other in a real-time fashion. These include the Internet, mobile phones, and voice technologies. Transfer tools, including storytelling tools, video, and SMS with preformatted options, help translate complex ideas into local languages through narrative exposure with frank input from outgroup representatives to the ingroup. Simple tools allow a quick and mostly safe setup, also having feedback-enabled options for mobilizing feedback. Examples include video clips illustrating relevant policies and similar cases, and no-frills SMS with text input containing set preformatted options. Simulation and modeling tools are used to visualize the dynamics of systems and their possible future developments, ideally providing various time horizons and illustrating different aspects of performance comprehensively. The most sophisticated models can include a broad spectrum of work functions in a consistent, comprehensive way, combining qualitative and quantitative modeling parts. Modeling with cages and multi-agent modeling are slightly less demanding alternative approaches. Even simpler tools with preformatted input options can help run scenarios based on qualitative understanding, revealing important developing trends and the effects of assumptions and uncertainties at higher levels [13, 14].

### **Ethics in Policy Communication**

Policies, organizations, and nations must protect and advance their citizens' welfare, particularly the disadvantaged. To fulfill this responsibility, stakeholders must first assess the community needing assistance. After establishing a foundation for intervention, alternative strategies must be evaluated for effectiveness and potential consequences for the community and stakeholders. A decision on action must be communicated to interested parties through various media, along with information on how to participate in the response. The ethics of policy communication relate to the adequacy of the produced information rather than just the communication processes. A poorly founded argument for action risks flawed outcomes if stakeholders' understanding is incomplete. As actions will alter existing practices, stakeholders must grasp proposed measures, their reasoning, and their implications. Both those proposing actions and affected stakeholders have rights that must be respected, reflecting their dignity. The right to participate in governance is essential and recognized in international law; disregarding it threatens the

relationships and moral values vital for human dignity. Respecting stakeholders' autonomy requires effective communication of policy instruments, enabling their right to engage with or contest community changes. These norms also extend to global and planetary policy communication [15, 16].

### **Communication Strategies for Policy Advocacy**

The fundamental concepts of advocacy strategy and tactics are analyzed here. Advocacy is a planned process to bring about desired change or action. It comprises three critical steps: (i) designing a specific and measurable advocacy strategy; (ii) selecting strategic advocacy tactics; and (iii) applying tools to implement those tactics. A comprehensive advocacy action plan includes both strategy and tactics. Advocacy strategy is a long-term plan aiming for a particular outcome, considering the message to convey, the target audience, access methods, required resources, and budget efficiency. An effective community advocacy strategy typically includes: (i) situation analysis; (ii) a clear policy position; (iii) identification of outcomes; and (iv) a plan for achieving those outcomes. Advocacy tactics are carried out to achieve tactical objectives, raising awareness through media and community activities. Tactics must aim for defined policy outcomes leading to strategic outcomes. Before implementation, coherent arguments supporting outcomes are essential. Consistency in messaging across all communication channels is critical. Tactics should adapt to the local political environment, with ongoing monitoring of new developments to ensure an optimal advocacy climate [17, 18].

### **Legal Challenges in Policy Communication**

Policy communication is essential for government activity, enabling informed responses from citizens and analyses from stakeholders like politicians and journalists. The digital environment facilitates these interactions, yet much of the literature tends to overlook the regulatory dimension that establishes rules for policy communication. Legal challenges arise in this context, particularly regarding legislative, administrative, and criminal procedures. The implications of digital communication include public-private partnerships, where public authorities outsource services to private partners, changing the traditional stakeholder dynamic. Here, private entities not only execute but also design and fund public policies. This shift raises legal challenges that affect how these partnerships operate, especially in the EU's collaboration with platform providers to combat disinformation. Analyses indicate that legal frameworks meant to support stakeholder interactions may instead hinder them, resulting in unintentional consequences. Addressing such legal issues often involves scrutinizing compliance-focused enforcement actions, pointing to the complexity and necessity for clearer regulations that accommodate stakeholder needs rather than obstructing them [19, 20].

### **Evaluating Communication Effectiveness in Policy**

A critical aspect of communication in policy development concerns how to evaluate effectiveness, which requires a context-based analysis of communication. This segment provides an evaluation strategy that uses a structured questionnaire to identify specific problems and possibilities for communication improvement in policy development settings. These problems and possibilities are expressed in terms of eleven criteria, under which the questions fall. While policy development in formal organizations such as government departments is the primary focus of the questions, the implications of informal network policy development are widely discussed. The expectancy evaluation model of communication effectiveness suggests that the first step in evaluation is to define what kind of information is being collected: reliance on mass media, reliance on interpersonal communication, satisfaction with a specific medium, satisfaction with a source, level of targeted objective (e.g., knowledge or attitude), etc. The second step is to ascertain a pool of relevant evaluation questions. The evaluation strategy gives answers to both questions, although the emphasis is very much on the second. Governments spend a lot on policy to put issues on the political agenda and draw up possible solutions: it is the policy question that is frequently framed as a question of vision, alternatives, urgency, or means. Bureaucracies also invest considerable time, money, and effort in preparing policy papers, background information, and reports to guarantee informative 'non-decisions'. Yet, the discussion of why some policies 'win' and others do not is far from being a 'theory-in-practice' endeavor, but may be more relevant to policy analysts than a lottery. How does knowledge influence decision-making, and what are its communicative styles? [21, 22].

### **Future Trends in Policy Communication**

In 2015, the UN addressed unsustainable economic growth, advocating for a sustainable system emphasizing social inclusion and environmental protection. Countries adopted the 2030 Agenda for

Sustainable Development, which includes 17 goals aimed at eliminating poverty, inequality, and climate change to transform growth pathways. New communication approaches are essential for better policy engagement with the public and civil society. Effective policy communication integrates various methods and channels to enhance public involvement in policy implementation and evaluation. Insights from international experts highlight that policies must be grounded in research; however, ideologies and lobbying often shape ground-level policies, creating a gap between policy and public opinion. Understanding policies is a public right, as complex and technical policies lead to public discontent. Effective policy communication fosters understanding and reduces conflicts. Poor communication often stems from a lack of skill among policymakers, a common global issue across cultures. Research programs and educational systems should develop skills for better policy communication. While the media plays a crucial role in engaging the public, profit-driven motives can distort information. Alternative media from the public, NGOs, and think tanks contribute to policy debates. Although stakeholder engagement is time-consuming, it yields valuable input. A robust institutional framework could enhance collaboration among organizations, optimize resources, and minimize redundant efforts in policy communication [23, 24].

### Global Perspectives on Policy Communication

Policy development varies across jurisdictions due to factors like state constitutions, political systems, laws, culture, and societal discourse. While often seen as systematic and objective, the process reflects subjective interpretations of social realities. Public policy development is dynamic and requires understanding communication processes. This includes examining various forms, channels, purposes, and audiences of policy communication. Current global policy changes often occur in a unilateral, unsustainable, and inequitable manner, raising questions about participatory communication. Some argue that interpretive participation enhances policy discourse by bringing in diverse perspectives. Conversely, critics warn that chaotic participation can complicate deliberation and delay consensus, threatening effective communication. The contradiction of public participation in discussing public issues remains. Socio-political shifts like regionalism and nationalism emphasize formal participation. Policy decisions have significant impacts on individuals, necessitating an understanding of policy communication that recognizes both structured assumptions and the evolving processes that alter them. Claiming that "knowledge is power" is simplistic unless it clarifies the nature of knowledge, its integration into policy, and how to persuade others to accept it. Much literature emphasizes partisan communication from the policymakers' perspective, defining it as a one-way transfer of relatively objective knowledge from policymakers to broader audiences [25, 26].

### CONCLUSION

Communication plays an indispensable role in the lifecycle of policy development, acting as a bridge between ideas, legal processes, and public legitimacy. While informal discourse may initiate policy ideas, the transition to formal state-backed policy requires robust communication mechanisms governed by legal standards. Legal frameworks ensure that communication remains transparent, participatory, and accountable, safeguarding citizens' rights while defining the obligations of state actors. However, barriers such as vague legislative drafting, lack of legal scrutiny, and digital complexities can undermine policy goals. Ethical standards and technology-enhanced tools must complement legal structures to promote inclusive participation and informed decision-making. As governance continues to evolve in a digitized world, a deliberate and law-informed approach to communication is necessary to uphold democratic principles and achieve sustainable policy outcomes.

### REFERENCES

1. Han X. Disciplinary power matters: rethinking governmentality and policy enactment studies in China. *J Educ Policy*. 2023;38(4):489–507. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com>
2. Andrews S, Skoczylas J. Prevent, ideology and Ideological State Apparatus: analysing terrorism prevention policies using Althusser's framework. *Millennium*. 2022;50(3):411–31.
3. Prouska R, Nyfoudi M, Psychogios A, Szamosi LT, Wilkinson A. Solidarity in action at a time of crisis: the role of employee voice about communication and horizontal solidarity behaviour. *Br J Manage*. 2023 Jan;34(1):91–110.
4. Pekkala K, van Zoonen W. Work-related social media use: the mediating role of social media communication self-efficacy. *Eur Manage J*. 2022;40(2):203–13.

5. Rahamathulla M. Cyber safety of children in the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) region: a critical review of legal frameworks and policy implications. *Int J Child Maltreat Res Policy Practice*. 2021 Dec;4(4):375–400.
6. Poshai L, Chilunjika A, Intauno K. Examining the institutional and legislative frameworks for enforcing cybersecurity in Zimbabwe. *Int Cyber Law Rev*. 2023 Dec;4(4):431–49.
7. Verheyden M, Glidden J, Shahin J. DG CONNECT's stakeholder engagement strategy. IES Policy Brief Issue 2013/03. April 2013.
8. Park C, Lee J. Stakeholder framing, communicative interaction, and policy legitimacy: anti-smoking policy in South Korea. *Health Policy Plan*. 2020;35(5):593–602. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
9. Neff T, Pickard V. Funding democracy: public media and democratic health in 33 countries. *Int J Press Politics*. 2024;29(1):142–63.
10. Echeverri-Gent J. The state and the poor: public policy and political development in India and the United States. 2023. Available from: [Internet].
11. Pittri H, Godawatte GA, Esangbedo OP, Antwi-Afari P, Bao Z. Exploring barriers to the adoption of digital technologies for circular economy practices in the construction industry in developing countries: a case of Ghana. *Buildings*. 2025 Mar 27;15(7):1090.
12. Keita K, Kourouma S. Assessment of policy and legal frameworks of urban green infrastructure development: Republic of Guinea. *Buildings*. 2023;13(10):250.
13. Guru BPMC, GB MSRU, Kumar MMD, Rajagopala MK. New media in the lives of adolescents. 2023. Available from: [Internet].
14. Su BC, Wu LW, Chang YYC, Hong RH. Influencers on social media as references: understanding the importance of parasocial relationships. *Sustainability*. 2021;13(6):3458.
15. Musaraj A, Gërxhi J. Communication and ethical behavior in the public service. 2010. Available from: [PDF].
16. Davenport F. Walking the ethical tightrope between science and policy. 2013. Available from: [PDF].
17. Moore M, Yeatman H, Pollard C. Evaluating success in public health advocacy strategies. 2013. Available from: [PDF].
18. South A. Designing and implementing a communications strategy: lessons learnt from HIV and sexual and reproductive health research programme consortia. 2011. Available from: [PDF].
19. Al-Tarawneh A, Al-Badawi M, Hatab WA. Translating governance and legal compliance: exploring the role of translation in facilitating corporate reporting and policy implementation. *Corp Law Gov Rev*. 2024 Sep 1;6(3):1–15.
20. Spytka L. Criminal prosecution as a tool of political pressure on opposition forces by authoritarian regimes: from the origins to the present. *Pak J Criminology*. 2023;15(2):71–86.
21. Guo T, Chen X, Wang Y, Chang R, Pei S, Chawla NV, et al. Large language model based multi-agents: a survey of progress and challenges. *arXiv [preprint]*. 2024 Jan 21. arXiv:2402.01680.
22. Jamil S. From digital divide to digital inclusion: challenges for wide-ranging digitalization in Pakistan. *Telecommun Policy*. 2021;45(9):102195.
23. Mendoza-Poudereux I, Dziminska M, Pellegrini G, Rowland J. Climate change and public perception. Citizens' proposals for better communication and involvement. *J Sci Commun*. 2021;20(03):A09.
24. Liu L, Mirkovski K, Lowry PB, Vu Q. “Do as I say but not as I do”: influence of political leaders' populist communication styles on public adherence in a crisis using the global case of COVID-19. *Data Inf Manage*. 2023;5(3):225–40.
25. Garoupa N, Botelho CS. Measuring procedural and substantial amendment rules: an empirical exploration. *German Law J*. 2021;22(4):585–610.
26. Dougherty S, Mota T. Navigating conflict and fostering co-operation in fiscal federalism. *OECD Working Papers on Fiscal Federalism*; 2024 Jul 22: OECD Publishing.

