

Communicating Health Policies to Diverse Populations

Mugisha Emmanuel K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Effective communication of health policies is critical in reducing health disparities and promoting equity among diverse populations. Structural inequalities, cultural and linguistic barriers, and limited health literacy disproportionately affect marginalized communities, including racial and ethnic minorities, immigrant groups, LGBTQ+ individuals, and those with lower socioeconomic status. This paper examines how health communication can bridge gaps in understanding and access, especially during health crises such as pandemics. It examines cultural competence, audience segmentation, and message tailoring as essential strategies for engaging "hard-to-reach" populations. Drawing on real-world examples and case studies, the paper identifies best practices for collaboration with community leaders, the development of inclusive communication tools, and methods for evaluating message effectiveness. Ultimately, this study emphasizes the need for a more inclusive, grassroots, and population-specific approach to health policy communication that respects cultural identities and promotes meaningful engagement with underserved communities.

Keywords: Health communication, health disparities, cultural competence, health literacy, marginalized populations, linguistic barriers, public health policy.

INTRODUCTION

Health inequities driven by structural racism and other social injustice practices systematically disadvantage low-income or marginalized groups and increase their risk or burden of poor health. Such inequities may be exacerbated by a lack of access to evidence-based health information, therefore increasing disproportionate risk for severe consequences due to a health threat like the pandemic. Health communication offers a scope of assurance that the person or public can access, as much as necessary and available, the correct and timely health information to make informed decisions during a health threat. However, those in marginalized groups with linguistic, cultural, and educational disadvantage are often included in the "hard-to-reach" populations, meaning that their information demand or needs are underserved by communication efforts. Health information produced by health authorities has been found inaccessible to these low-income or marginalized populations. Underserved and diverse population such as racial and ethnic minorities, immigrant or migration populations, speakers of languages other than English, LGBTQ+ populations, those with lower educational attainment, and with overall lower health literacy levels, are in urgent need yet underserved in health communication. Health authorities are tasked with creating communication products that are accurate, timely, and easy to understand, but many do not know the nuanced needs of culturally diverse communities. Cultural, linguistic, and educational barriers have been a hard-to-navigate obstacle construct that keeps these groups away from obtaining the vital health threats and safety information [1, 2].

Understanding Health Policies

The health of minority populations in the United States reveals significant disparities tied to race and ethnicity. Ethnic and racial minorities face distinct disadvantages in health status, care access, and utilization. Researchers depend heavily on national survey data to analyze and address these differences. Understanding the health of subpopulations and the transition to electronic health records is crucial for visibility and monitoring of health disparities. Current health disparity discussions assume that Americans are healthier than those from other nations, though concerns grow over the increasing 65-plus demographic, the diverse Hispanic population, and rising chronic conditions like obesity and diabetes.

However, literature indicates that basic projections neglect racial, income, educational, and geographic disparities. When analyzed, the U.S. performs poorly in global health comparisons. An eight-step epidemiological framework outlines the complex communication processes involved in health initiatives, addressing key audience segmentation and resource allocation. Examples from CDC initiatives like breast and cervical services, family planning outreach, and childhood lead poisoning prevention programs illustrate these steps, highlighting lessons learned from targeting health messages and areas for future research [3, 4].

The Importance of Cultural Competence

Culture is an integrated pattern of human behavior, including thought, communication, language, beliefs, values, norms, practices, and relationships. All aspects of a person's culture impact their health decisions, for example, whether they will engage in the health care system or utilize health education materials. Representation matters; people trust public health professionals and resources that respect and value their culture. Best practices leading to positive health outcomes include culturally inclusive health policies and programs, which are not limited to simply translation of health education materials into another language but that reflect the cultural norms and beliefs of the population. Understanding that culture influences health decisions is part of becoming culturally competent. Cultural competence goes beyond quality assurance regarding language interpretation, signage, and training. Cultural competence in healthcare settings involves understanding the social and cultural influences on patients' health beliefs and behaviors in order to devise interventions that assure quality health care for diverse populations. Low health literacy contributes to health disparities and is connected to cultural competence. Culture impacts understanding and interpretation of health messages and vocabulary. Most adults struggle with health literacy, and the greatest challenges are often faced by racial/ethnic minorities. For example, many Asian-Americans and Hispanics do not understand written information. A large percentage of African-Americans have difficulty reading health information. These disparities lead to challenges in interpreting lab values and managing prescriptions, resulting in medication errors. There is currently limited theory and tools for developing culturally competent health communications, which complicates the task of engaging diverse audiences with health promotion messages [5, 6].

Identifying Diverse Populations

Obtaining clarity on the populations served by each organization can utilize digital tools. To address significant health disparities, priorities should be set by ZIP Code, identifying areas with the worst health outcomes. Attention must also focus on "invisible" populations overlooked due to housing circumstances, such as the homeless, those in jails or shelters, and others living transiently. While data on incarceration or homelessness can be challenging to find, it is crucial for campaign design. Evaluating the representation of different groups aids in assessing organizational capacity for targeted messaging. A populist approach to health care policy implies that policies stem from mass culture rather than elite research or powerful interest groups. These population views would shape policy documents and their implementation, suggesting that health care strategies could adopt a "grass-roots" cultural form. Understanding the main attributes of the population is essential, particularly regarding low-income groups with higher health burdens. Broader population considerations, such as statewide health disparities, can also be relevant in campaigns aimed at underserved populations. A vital aspect of audience consideration is control over messaging and its effectiveness; a strong message holds little value if it is not communicated well. Political context may influence the openness to expertise at various levels. Engagement in health policy primarily occurs through state or national focus groups and forums for public discourse, yet opportunities for incorporating expertise into broader issues exist [7, 8].

Barriers to Effective Communication

Successful communication efforts are vital for achieving health policy goals, but outreach to diverse populations faces several barriers, including cultural incompetence, stigma, fear of discrimination, lack of access, and distrust in authorities. Understanding these barriers is essential for agencies to develop effective outreach tools. Culture encompasses a person's entire life and influences health beliefs and behaviors, as well as interactions with the healthcare system. Cultural competency refers to health providers' ability to deliver services that address patients' social, cultural, and linguistic needs. Recognizing social and cultural influences is crucial for diagnosing and treating diseases effectively. A culturally competent healthcare system aims to assess and address barriers to access while maximizing patient education and engagement. As the US population becomes more diverse, cultural traditions significantly impact health communication. Despite the influence of ethnic, racial, and social backgrounds on health information exchange, there is limited research on culturally competent communications. Low

health literacy contributes to adults' difficulties in understanding health information from professionals and resources, leading to racial and ethnic health disparities [9, 10].

Strategies for Effective Communication

Health commissioners and public health professionals must integrate diversity, equity, and inclusion into communication processes and products. These values can effectively convey health policies and support diverse community responses to health objectives. Commissioners and their teams should establish partnerships with stakeholder communities early in projects, maintaining a deep understanding of cultural norms and beliefs that influence health message interpretations. Health communications should be tailored and tested for various audiences based on equity-centered principles. All materials must be translated into the preferred languages of the intended audience, with reviews by native speakers. Staff should address access barriers, such as transportation and cultural norms that may hinder participation. Outreach must involve direct, in-person contact to explain health information, respond to community concerns, and build connections within local structures. Health officials need to recruit and train bilingual individuals from target audiences for outreach and education. Collaboration with community leaders and experts is essential to create culturally responsive content, including ethnic humor, traditional practices, and communal activities. The appropriateness of language and imagery must be carefully assessed to ensure respect. Emphasis should be placed on positive behaviors and community strengths [11, 12].

Engaging Community Leaders

Studies show that a higher use of trusted community organizations results in a more significant impact on health risk factors, behavior, and access to care, and quality of care. Public health plans and interventions must monitor and invest in multi-sectoral collaborations between public health, health care, and community organizations. Within each sector and partnership, organizations should leverage their assets and strengths to prioritize collaboration, enhance engagement with community partners, achieve mutual benefit, reduce inequities, and influence systems change. Place-based organizations are a promising avenue toward engagement with community leaders. Building trust between systems and residents is necessary to address socioeconomic and geographic disparities in knowledge of organizations that may assist in COVID-19 vaccination. Outcomes may include increased awareness of vaccine information and access to services. Collaborations should begin with pre-existing networks to ensure representation. Continued engagement with networks for feedback builds capacity among organizations, communities, and organizations. Community organizations are trusted allies to support low-resource communities during times of disparity. Collaboration with trusted organizations from non-health sectors can improve public health communication and better supply and equity. Lack of awareness of vaccine availability and access disparities must be addressed by translating health information into actionable outreach with and via trusted organizations. Outreach must be delivered in a coordinated manner, ideally within existing conversations. Trust-building between organizations during coalition development will be essential for broader outreach. Partnership engagement in shaping the campaign will enhance relevance and response by the targeted community organizations [13, 14].

Tailoring Messages for Different Audiences

Government and public health agencies should take proactive steps to develop effective health communications that are specifically tailored to the various demographics within the population. This should involve a strong focus on cultural dimensions and an intricate segmentation of audiences based on critical factors such as religion, education, and income. Segmentation is a vital process that involves dividing populations into distinct groups that share similar health needs, ensuring that health messages are not only relevant but also resonate deeply with each unique audience segment. Broader outlines and general messages often fall short and fail to adequately meet the diverse expectations and requirements of these distinct groups. Therefore, a comprehensive multi-part survey should be commissioned to meticulously study the relationship between religious beliefs, socio-economic status, and health information-seeking behaviors among the population. This survey would rigorously analyze current trends in decision-making processes and wellness practices, particularly focusing on children, and would ideally be conducted through established market research organizations. Additionally, proposals could be solicited from universities and consultancies with relevant expertise in this field. The primary goal of this initiative is to create clearer and more defined segments which will facilitate informed decision-making. This, in turn, will aid health communication experts in developing highly targeted and effective strategies that take into account the nuances of various populations. It has become abundantly clear that mere pooled messaging, which is often a default approach, is insufficient in a nation characterized by its

diversity. Therefore, focusing on individual, specific segments is not just beneficial, but essential for achieving meaningful and effective health communication outcomes [15, 16].

Case Studies in Health Communication

As patient populations become diverse in language, literacy, and culture, health care organizations seek innovative ways to communicate effectively with limited English proficient (LEP) and low health literacy patients. This study identified eight hospitals committed to patient-centered communication with vulnerable populations. Such communication practices are essential for overcoming language barriers, ensuring safe and clear health care interactions. Through site visits and focus groups, we highlighted successful practices from these hospitals to foster culturally competent care. Effective communication requires a shared understanding of verbal and non-verbal languages. Many hospitals have initiated programs to enhance linguistic services for LEP patients, categorized into three areas: health system-level activities for developing language service infrastructure, community-level activities to provide or promote existing services, and individual-focused interactions between patients and providers. Access to effective language assistance is crucial in reducing health disparities for LEP patients, typically offered by hospitals, clinics, or local health departments through medical interpreters or bilingual staff. Under Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, federal hospitals must ensure LEP individuals have meaningful access to services. The study reveals that participating hospitals provide various language assistance services, such as hiring bilingual staff, interpreter services for patients with accents, trained medical interpreters, portable interpreters for remote areas, and video remote interpreting to enhance communication [17, 18].

Evaluating Communication Effectiveness

Ensuring health policies are clear and accessible to all community members is crucial, and evaluating communication with diverse populations can reveal gaps in messaging. Assessment methods vary based on specific communication goals, with qualitative evaluation focusing on community information needs and quantitative measures tracking how well communications are understood and retained. For instance, the San Mateo County Health System's Health and Equity Forum aims to raise health issue awareness, share programming information, and engage community discussions. Local health departments can leverage these lessons for program design and overall success evaluation. Since Fall 2014, regional forums have informed health and equity needs. Evaluation here is categorized into "process" or "outcome," employing qualitative and quantitative methods, as well as formative and summative approaches, to discuss evaluation strategies and lessons from past missteps. Additionally, specially-trained staff in clinics may facilitate literacy interventions, identifying eligible individuals through standardized risk screening and referring them for literacy intervention appointments. The evaluation monitors how many eligible individuals attend these appointments successfully. Health systems bear the responsibility to present patient-centered health education formats and provide language assistance for vulnerable populations. Over the past decade, awareness of health disparities has increased, with literature identifying cultural competency resources and standards for patient-centered communication while addressing racial, ethnic, and linguistic disparities, especially in language assistance [19, 20].

Policy Implications of Effective Communication

Health policies aim to improve health issues by altering the social, physical, or economic factors contributing to problems like obesity, diabetes, and HIV transmission. These policies can include taxes, subsidies, regulations, and mandates. Their success hinges on public acceptance and stakeholder engagement in policy creation and activation. When policies target specific groups (e.g., food manufacturers), informed dialogue among stakeholders is essential to foster trust in authorities' intentions. Such discussions must cover (1) the health issue prompting the policy, (2) the policy's potential benefits, (3) its implementation and assessment, and (4) necessary adjustments. Effective outreach to disadvantaged groups is crucial, and culturally appropriate implementation is necessary for success. Generally, health policies in developed countries have been crafted by experts, often leading to reactive public debates that lack moderation and representation, especially among marginalized populations, who face health inequities as a result. Recognizing this, the World Health Organization emphasizes culturally sensitive policy adaptations. Successful examples have emerged, such as policies to reduce smoking in Indigenous communities in Australia and the US; however, comparable measures addressing obesity among marginalized ethnic groups in the UK are still lacking. Most existing evidence focuses on strategies for direct behavioral change, overlooking policy components relevant to agenda-setting and formulation stages of policymaking. The elitism inherent in health policy development, insufficient public input, and contentious discourse present challenges to health promotion success and equity. In politically liberal democracies, health policies are typically proposed by experts to legislatures, where they face

approval or criticism from other experts. This dialogue, often contentious for unpopular policies, usually results in written position statements, leading to parliamentary decisions on modifying legal frameworks for policy implementation, with local authorities responsible for creating the implementation structure [21, 22].

The Role of Social Media in Health Communication

As social media's role in public health education expands, health communication via these platforms has gained prominence due to increased access. Traditionally, social media has served as a tool for social marketing in health communication, but as its use evolves, it's crucial to assess the actual impact of social content in public health beyond strategic marketing. By engaging with cultural and health narratives within complex social media systems, health communication can enhance the understanding of its outputs. This paper seeks to encourage exploration of unobtrusive social media content aimed at the public and presents a case study on health-related videos. While existing literature addresses social media's public health campaign design, it often fails to align these efforts with a health communication framework. Before the rise of social media, qualitative studies in public health were largely limited to private mass media. With growing interest in social messages and the popularity of public platforms, there's significant potential to develop methodologies for analyzing cross-platform content. Employing modern text data mining and image processing technologies can dramatically enhance the examination of public health communication messages and study how web searches shape public perceptions of health narratives. Additionally, this presents an opportunity to refine conceptual frameworks around this work. As distinctions between mass and interpersonal communication blur, classifying the public as a hybrid of mass messages and interpersonal narratives could be beneficial in public health communication. Although many health educators utilize social media to engage the public, leveraging these platforms effectively remains a challenge. Understanding the implications of online social media content on health narratives and finding ways to analyze these outputs is vital for health education and promotion [23, 24].

Ethical Considerations in Health Communication

When developing health policies and communication for diverse populations, it is crucial to incorporate cultural values across all platforms. Culture is learned through individual interactions and is expressed through communication methods. Therefore, health policies and programs must be culturally competent and inclusive. Effective strategies promote representation, but poor implementation can result in harm, mistrust, and trauma. Cultural competence is an ongoing process that emphasizes the importance of representation when crafting policies and health messages. Marginalized populations are often underrepresented in public health, so involving these communities fosters trust in public health initiatives. Policies should reflect the target audience, and an inclusive system for document review is essential to ensure representation. Use relatable images and graphics, and include glossaries for clarity. Take time to review successful existing programs and policies, adopting best practices to suit each community's unique culture and norms. This fosters ownership and respect among community members, enhancing their engagement and commitment. Policies imposed without explanation can lead to resistance and outrage rather than acceptance [25, 26].

Future Trends in Health Communication

The future of health communication is evolving, raising the question: "What will be the future trends of health communication?" The 21st century has brought significant health changes, impacting daily experiences through epigenetic shifts. This has broadened health information while requiring better skills to assess relevant and accurate data. Health communication studies patterns in health education discourse, and individuals now navigate a chaotic landscape influenced by globalization and fast-changing communication technologies. This has resulted in a surge of health information and misinformation, making it difficult to differentiate between them. Improving health literacy, which involves the ability to access, understand, and utilize health information, is one strategy to address this challenge. However, an overemphasis on cognitive aspects may oversimplify health literacy, disregarding the larger social context. Research indicates that healthcare professionals, businesses, governments, and media must work together to enhance health literacy amidst information overload. While health literacy holds undeniable advantages in today's data-rich environment, many studies primarily focus on its relation to health behavior, often overlooking the emotional and behavioral factors essential for effective information use. Further investigation is necessary to balance cognitive and behavioral aspects in health literacy research. Few studies have examined the process of information-seeking as a cycle involving awareness, evaluation, searching, assurance, and use of health information, highlighting the role of intention in sharing

information. Despite growing interest, literature in this area remains limited and under-cited, suggesting a need for future meta-analyses on health information usage [27-32].

CONCLUSION

Communicating health policies effectively to diverse populations is not only a logistical challenge but also an ethical imperative in the pursuit of health equity. Marginalized communities often face compounded barriers structural, cultural, linguistic, and educational barriers that limit access to vital health information. Traditional, one-size-fits-all communication strategies fall short of addressing the nuanced needs of these groups. Instead, culturally competent, community-based, and audience-specific approaches are essential. This includes involving community leaders, using appropriate language and imagery, investing in bilingual and bicultural staff, and employing a variety of media formats. Evaluating communication effectiveness through both qualitative and quantitative methods ensures continuous improvement and responsiveness to community needs. By embracing diversity and inclusion at every stage of health communication design, delivery, and evaluation, health authorities can foster trust, improve health outcomes, and close the equity gap across all segments of the population.

REFERENCES

1. Linos K, Carlson M, Jakli L, Dalma N, Cohen I, Veloudaki A, Spyrellis SN. How do disadvantaged groups seek information about public services? A randomized controlled trial of communication technologies. *Public Administration Review*. 2022 Jul;82(4):708-20. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
2. Kitzie VL, Wagner TL, Lookingbill V, Vera N. Advancing information practices theoretical discourses centered on marginality, community, and embodiment: Learning from the experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, intersex, and asexual (LGBTQIA+) communities. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. 2022 Apr;73(4):494-510. [\[HTML\]](#)
3. Alegría M, Araneta MR, Rivers B. The National Advisory Council on minority health and health disparities reflection. *American journal of public health*. 2019 Jan;109(S1):S14-5.
4. Spinner JR, Haynes E, Nunez C, Baskerville S, Bravo K, Araojo RR. Enhancing FDA's reach to minorities and under-represented groups through training: developing culturally competent health education materials. *Journal of Primary Care & Community Health*. 2021 Mar;12:21501327211003688.
5. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Medical preparedness for bioterrorism and chemical warfare: A public health integration review. *Medicine*. 2025 May 2;104(18):e42289.
6. Derakhshan A. 'Should textbook images be merely decorative?': Cultural representations in the Iranian EFL national textbook from the semiotic approach perspective. *Language Teaching Research*. 2024 Jan;28(1):79-113.
7. Pavlova A, Berkers P. "Mental health" as defined by Twitter: Frames, emotions, stigma. *Health communication*. 2022 Apr 16;37(5):637-47.
8. Slattery M, Kendall A, Helal N, Whittaker ML. What do frontline communities want to know about lithium extraction? Identifying research areas to support environmental justice in Lithium Valley, California. *Energy Research & Social Science*. 2023 May 1;99:103043. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
9. Barshes NR, Minc SD. Healthcare disparities in vascular surgery: a critical review. *Journal of vascular surgery*. 2021 Aug 1;74(2):6S-14S.
10. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. *Medicine*. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
11. Deal A, Crawshaw AF, Carter J, Knights F, Iwami M, Darwish M, Hossain R, Immordino P, Kaojaroen K, Severoni S, Hargreaves S. Defining drivers of under-immunization and vaccine hesitancy in refugee and migrant populations. *Journal of Travel Medicine*. 2023 Jul;30(5):taad084. [oup.com](https://www.oup.com)
12. Padhani ZA, Khan MH, Yasin R, Rahman AR, Lakhani S, Mirani M, Jamali MK, Khan ZA, Khatoon S, Partab R, Haq AU. A qualitative study on behavioral and social drivers of COVID-19 vaccine amongst refugees and migrants in Pakistan. *PLOS Global Public Health*. 2025 Apr 8;5(4):e0004444. [plos.org](https://www.plos.org)

13. Glasscoe MT, Rosinski A, Vaughan D, Morentz J. Disaster response and decision support in partnership with the california earthquake clearinghouse. InAGU Fall Meeting Abstracts 2014 Dec (Vol. 2014, pp. G52A-07).
14. Baumann AA, Shelton RC, Kumanyika S, Haire-Joshu D. Advancing healthcare equity through dissemination and implementation science. *Health services research*. 2023 Dec;58:327-44. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
15. Rozak HA, Adhiatma A, Fachrunnisa O, Rahayu T. Social media engagement, organizational agility and digitalization strategic plan to improve SMEs' performance. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*. 2021 Jun 18;70(11):3766-75. [\[HTML\]](#)
16. Jennings W, Stoker G, Bunting H, Valgarðsson VO, Gaskell J, Devine D, McKay L, Mills MC. Lack of trust, conspiracy beliefs, and social media use predict COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. *Vaccines*. 2021 Jun 3;9(6):593. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
17. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. *Medicine*. 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279.
18. Akkaya M. Understanding the impacts of lifestyle segmentation & perceived value on brand purchase intention: An empirical study in different product categories. *European research on management and business economics*. 2021 Sep 1;27(3):100155. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
19. Manakitsa N, Maraslidis GS, Moysis L, Fragulis GF. A review of machine learning and deep learning for object detection, semantic segmentation, and human action recognition in machine and robotic vision. *Technologies*. 2024 Jan 23;12(2):15.
20. Sliwinski K, Kutney-Lee A, McHugh MD, Lasater KB. A review of disparities in outcomes of hospitalized patients with limited English proficiency: The importance of nursing resources. *Journal of health care for the poor and underserved*. 2024;35(1):359-74. [jhu.edu](https://www.jhu.edu)
21. Tan-McGrory A, Schwamm LH, Kirwan C, Betancourt JR, Barreto EA. Addressing virtual care disparities for patients with limited English proficiency. *American Journal of Managed Care*. 2022 Jan 1;28(1). [\[HTML\]](#)
22. Wynia M, Matiassek J. Promising practices for patient-centered communication with vulnerable populations: Examples from eight hospitals. *The Commonwealth Fund*. 2006:1-94.
23. V Rodrigues L. Effective Health Communication Measures within the Silicon Valley. 2016. [\[PDF\]](#)
24. Welch V, Pottie K, Gaudet C, Thuku M, Mallard R, Spenceley S, Amjed N, Wadhwani A, Ghogomu E, Scott C, Dahrouge S. Realist review of community coalitions and outreach interventions to increase access to primary care for vulnerable populations: a realist review. *Archives of Public Health*. 2023 Jun 24;81(1):115. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
25. Kale S, Hirani S, Vardhan S, Mishra A, Ghode DB, Prasad R, Wanjari M. Addressing cancer disparities through community engagement: lessons and best practices. *Cureus*. 2023 Aug 14;15(8). [cureus.com](https://www.cureus.com)
26. Kamruzzaman MM, Yan B, Sarker MN, Alruwaili O, Wu M, Alrashdi I. Blockchain and fog computing in IoT-driven healthcare services for smart cities. *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*. 2022;2022(1):9957888. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
27. Paul-Chima UO, Ugwu CN, Alum EU. Integrated approaches in nutraceutical delivery systems: optimizing ADME dynamics for enhanced therapeutic potency and clinical impact. *RPS Pharmacy and Pharmacology Reports*. 2024 Oct;3(4):rqae024.
28. Kington RS, Arnesen S, Chou WY, Curry SJ, Lazer D, Villarruel AM. Identifying credible sources of health information in social media: principles and attributes. *NAM perspectives*. 2021 Jul 16;2021:10-31478. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
29. Lau LS, Rodgers G. Cultural competence in refugee service settings: a scoping review. *Health equity*. 2021 Mar 1;5(1):124-34.
30. Gradellini C, Gómez-Cantarino S, Dominguez-Isabel P, Molina-Gallego B, Mecugni D, Ugarte-Gurrutxaga MI. Cultural competence and cultural sensitivity education in university nursing courses. A scoping review. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2021 Sep 29;12:682920. [frontiersin.org](https://www.frontiersin.org)
31. Jiang S. The roles of worry, social media information overload, and social media fatigue in hindering health fact-checking. *Social Media+ Society*. 2022 Jul;8(3):20563051221113070.

32. Rubinelli S, Ort A, Zanini C, Fiordelli M, Diviani N. Strengthening critical health literacy for health information appraisal: an approach from argumentation theory. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021 Jun 23;18(13):6764. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18136764)

CITE AS: Mugisha Emmanuel K. (2025). Communicating Health Policies to Diverse Populations. IAA Journal of Biological Sciences 13(1):81-88. <https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJB/2025/1318188>