IAA Journal of Biological Sciences 14(1):22-28, 2026 www.iaajournals.org

©IAAJOURNALS ISSN:2636-7254

https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJB/2026/1412228 IAAJB1411

Gene Drive Technology for Anopheles Mosquito Population Control: Ecological Safety and Malaria Elimination Potential

Masika Anna Mahinda

Department of Pharmacy Kampala International University Uganda
Email: mahindamasika@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Malaria remained a devastating parasitic disease, with Anopheles mosquitoes serving as obligate vectors for Plasmodium transmission, accounting for approximately 249 million cases and 608,000 deaths globally in 2022. Traditional vector control strategies faced increasing challenges from insecticide resistance and operational limitations, necessitating innovative genetic approaches. Gene drive systems represented a revolutionary molecular technology capable of biasing inheritance patterns to spread desired traits through wild mosquito populations at super-Mendelian frequencies. This narrative review critically synthesized current evidence on gene drive mechanisms, ecological safety considerations, and malaria elimination potential in Anopheles species. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase databases (2015–2025) using keywords related to gene drive, CRISPR-Cas9, Anopheles, malaria control, and ecological risk assessment. Current evidence demonstrates that CRISPR-based gene drives can achieve population suppression through female sterility mechanisms or population modification through anti-Plasmodium effector genes, with laboratory trials showing transmission rates exceeding 90% within 10–20 generations. However, significant challenges persisted regarding drive resistance evolution, off-target ecological effects, containment strategies, and regulatory frameworks for environmental release. Gene drive technology held transformative potential for malaria elimination but required rigorous field validation, comprehensive ecological monitoring, and transparent community engagement before operational deployment.

Keywords: Gene drive technology, Anopheles mosquitoes, CRISPR-Cas9, Malaria vector control, Ecological biosafety.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria continues to impose an extraordinary burden on global public health, particularly across sub-Saharan Africa, where approximately 95% of cases and 90% of deaths occur, disproportionately affecting children under five years, who represent 80% of mortality [1, 2]. The disease is caused by Plasmodium parasites transmitted exclusively through the bites of infected female Anopheles mosquitoes, with Anopheles gambiae, Anopheles arabiensis, and Anopheles funestus serving as the primary vectors in Africa [1, 3]. Despite substantial progress through insecticide-treated bed nets and artemisinin-based combination therapies reducing malaria mortality by 29% between 2000 and 2020, progress has plateaued since 2015, with a resurgence in several regions attributed to insecticide resistance in vectors and antimalarial drug resistance in parasites. Current vector control methods rely predominantly on pyrethroid-based insecticides, yet resistance has been documented in 78 countries, compromising intervention efficacy. Traditional genetic control approaches, including sterile insect technique and Wolbachia-based strategies, face operational scalability limitations. Gene drive technology has emerged as a potentially transformative approach, leveraging CRISPR-Cas9 systems to engineer self-propagating genetic modifications that spread through wild populations, offering possibilities for either population suppression or replacement with refractory phenotypes [4,

5]. The objective of this review is to critically evaluate the molecular mechanisms, ecological safety considerations, efficacy evidence, and translational potential of gene drive systems for sustainable Anopheles mosquito control and malaria elimination.

METHODOLOGY

A comprehensive narrative literature review was conducted to synthesize current evidence on gene drive technology for Anopheles mosquito control. Electronic databases, including PubMed/Medline, Web of Science, Embase, and bioRxiv, were systematically searched for publications from January 2015 through March 2025. The search strategy employed Boolean operators combining terms: ("gene drive" OR "CRISPR drive" OR "homing endonuclease") AND ("Anopheles" OR "mosquito" OR "malaria vector") AND ("population control" OR "vector control" OR "malaria elimination" OR "ecological safety" OR "risk assessment"). Inclusion criteria prioritized peer-reviewed original research articles, systematic reviews, and high-quality preprints reporting laboratory experiments, mathematical modeling studies, field cage trials, and ecological risk assessments. Exclusion criteria eliminated opinion pieces without primary data, non-English publications, and studies focusing exclusively on non-Anopheles species without translational relevance. Additional articles were identified through citation tracking of seminal papers and recent conference proceedings. Evidence synthesis employed thematic analysis, organizing findings into molecular mechanisms, efficacy data, ecological considerations, and regulatory frameworks, with critical appraisal of methodological quality and evidence strength.

MOLECULAR AND BIOCHEMICAL BASIS OF GENE DRIVE SYSTEMS

CRISPR-Cas9 Homing Endonuclease Gene Drives

Contemporary gene drive architectures predominantly utilize CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease systems to achieve super-Mendelian inheritance through homology-directed repair mechanisms [6]. The molecular mechanism involves insertion of a drive cassette encoding Cas9 endonuclease and guide RNA targeting a specific genomic locus in the wild-type chromosome. Following drive construct insertion into one chromosome, Cas9-gRNA complexes recognize and cleave the corresponding wild-type allele on the homologous chromosome during germline development. This copying process, termed "homing," enables exponential spread through populations even if the drive confers fitness costs.

Multiple molecular architectures have been engineered for Anopheles species. Split-drive systems separate Cas9 and gRNA components across different genomic locations, reducing linkage and potentially constraining drive spread [7]. Autonomous drives contain all components within a single insertion, maximizing transmission efficiency but raising containment concerns. Recent innovations include daisy-chain drives where multiple linked elements sequentially promote each other but eventually exhaust forward elements, creating self-limiting systems. Molecular targeting strategies focus on haploinsufficient genes where disruption in heterozygotes causes lethality or sterility, enabling population suppression, or neutral genomic safe harbors permitting insertion of anti-Plasmodium effector genes for population replacement approaches.

Anti-Plasmodium Effector Mechanisms

Population replacement strategies require effector genes that block Plasmodium transmission without compromising mosquito fitness. Several biochemical mechanisms have been engineered. Anti-Plasmodium single-chain antibodies targeting circumsporozoite protein (CSP) prevent sporozoite invasion of salivary glands, achieving very high transmission blocking in laboratory trials [8, 9]. Antimicrobial peptides, including synthetic cecropins, disrupt parasite membrane integrity during midgut invasion and oocyst development stages. Small interfering RNA targeting Plasmodium genes, including Pfs47 and CTRP achieve gene silencing, reducing oocyst formation by 75–90%. Transgenic expression of immune signaling molecules, including TEP1 variants and LRIM1, enhances mosquito complement-like responses, lysing early-stage parasites. Critical challenges include effector durability against Plasmodium evolutionary adaptation, potential fitness costs reducing drive competitiveness, and tissue-specific expression optimization to maximize efficacy while minimizing metabolic burden.

Gene Drive Resistance Evolution

A fundamental biochemical constraint involves resistance alleles arising through non-homologous end joining repair, which generates insertions-deletions at the target site that prevent gRNA recognition while potentially preserving gene function. Mathematical models predict resistance emergence within 20–30 generations, depending on drive efficiency, population size, and fitness differentials [10, 11]. Resistance-resistant strategies include multiplexed gRNA targeting multiple sites simultaneously, requiring coincident resistant mutations for functional immunity. Targeting haploinsufficient genes where any disruption causes lethality theoretically prevents viable resistance, though recent experiments demonstrate that truncated proteins or alternative splice variants occasionally retain partial function [12]. Split-drive architectures with separate Cas9 and gRNA loci may slow but not eliminate resistance evolution. Evolutionary modeling indicates that optimal drive designs balance transmission efficiency against resistance risk through moderate fitness costs that slow but do not prevent spread, allowing population transformation before resistance fixation.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND TRANSLATIONAL EVIDENCE

Laboratory Cage Experiments

Controlled laboratory studies provide foundational efficacy data that demonstrated the first CRISPR-based gene drive in Anopheles gambiae targeting doublesex (dsx), a gene essential for female development. The drive achieved 99.5% transmission efficiency, with homozygous females exhibiting complete sterility through malformed genitalia and inability to bite [13]. Cage populations collapsed within 7–11 generations even when initiated with 12.5% drive allele frequency, representing proof-of-concept for suppression drives. This approach was refined using dual gRNA targeting, achieving 100% female sterility and cage elimination within 8–12 generations from 2.5% seeding rates [14]. Population replacement drives show comparable transmission rates; 98% inheritance bias in drive-heterozygotes with anti-Plasmodium effector cargo in Anopheles stephensi [15]. However, long-term cage experiments reveal drive erosion through resistance alleles, with functional resistance emerging by generation 20–30 despite initial >95% transmission rates.

Mathematical Modeling and Population Dynamics

Computational models project field performance under realistic ecological parameters. Deterministic models indicate that suppression drives require 90–95% transmission efficiency and <20% fitness costs to achieve elimination in isolated populations within 20–40 generations. Stochastic simulations incorporating demographic variability, seasonal population fluctuations, and migration suggest that higher release thresholds (10–20% of population) maintain drive momentum against drift in small populations [16]. Spatial models incorporating mosquito dispersal (typically 100–500 meters per generation for Anopheles species) demonstrate that drive spread rates of 5–15 km per year enable continental-scale coverage within 15–25 years from limited release sites, though this depends critically on drive fitness and resistance evolution rates [17]. Notably, metapopulation models reveal that low migration rates between populations (<1% per generation) can maintain the presence in sink populations while allowing extinction in source populations, potentially complicating elimination objectives.

Field Cage and Semi-Field Trials

Large field cages approximating natural conditions provide intermediate validation. Recent trials in Sub-Saharan Africa characterize the behavior of mosquitoes from 27 sites scattered across the species' ancestral range in sub-Saharan Africa demonstrated over 80% of this variation can be predicted by two ecological factors [18]. However, no gene drive mosquitoes have been released into wild populations as of 2025, maintaining a critical evidence gap regarding field performance, ecological interactions, and resistance evolution under natural selection pressures. Target Product Profile analyses suggest that effective drives require ≥95% transmission efficiency, <15% fitness cost, operational feasibility with release ratios <20%, and genetic stability across ≥50 generations to achieve elimination within operational timeframes [19].

ECOLOGICAL SAFETY AND RISK ASSESSMENT

Non-Target Species and Ecosystem Effects

Ecological risk assessment focuses on potential cascade effects through food webs and biodiversity impacts. Anopheles mosquitoes serve as prey for numerous predators, including fish, birds, bats, and aquatic insects, raising concerns about food web disruption if populations collapse. However, ecological studies suggest Anopheles represent <5% of total mosquito biomass in most ecosystems, with over 3,500 other mosquito species potentially compensating for their absence [20]. Experimental mesocosm studies removing Anopheles larvae show minimal impacts on predator populations or community structure over 24-month observations. Pollen feeding by adult males contributes to plant pollination, though Anopheles represent minor pollinators with negligible agricultural significance. Critical unknowns include long-term ecosystem responses, potential niche filling by invasive species, and indirect effects through parasite-host ecology alterations.

Off-Target Genetic Effects and Horizontal Gene Transfer

Molecular safety concerns include off-target Cas9 cleavage at sites with sequence similarity to intended targets, potentially causing unintended mutations or chromosomal rearrangements [21, 22]. Whole-genome sequencing of drive mosquitoes reveals 0–3 off-target mutations per individual, depending on gRNA design specificity, comparable to spontaneous mutation rates. Horizontal gene transfer to non-target species through hybridization or gut microbiome remains theoretically possible but biologically implausible given the reproductive isolation of Anopheles species and the absence of mechanisms for drive component transfer to bacteria or vertebrates. Laboratory experiments co-housing drive mosquitoes with non-target insects over multiple generations detect no drive component transfer. Transgene expression is restricted to mosquito-specific regulatory elements, preventing function if transfer occurred.

Geographic Containment and Reversibility

Engineered spatial restriction mechanisms include threshold-dependent drives requiring minimum allele frequencies (20–30%) to spread, enabling local deployment without continental dispersal. Daisy-chain architectures exhaust forward elements over defined generation numbers, creating self-limiting drives appropriate for initial field trials [23]. Cleave-and-rescue systems require two separate drive components that must co-occur for functionality,

enabling geographic containment. However, mathematical models indicate that most drive types become irreversible once exceeding critical thresholds in interconnected populations [24]. Reversal strategies include releasing mosquitoes carrying sequence-altered target sites immune to drive recognition, or releasing drives targeting the original drive components. Neither approach has been field-validated. Molecular safeguards under development include synthetic gene circuits that respond to small-molecule inhibitors, providing emergency "off-switches," though these add complexity and potential failure modes.

Regulatory Frameworks and Environmental Release Standards

International governance remains fragmented. The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety under the Convention on Biological Diversity provides frameworks for transboundary movements of living modified organisms, but lacks specific gene drive provisions [25]. The World Health Organization published guidance documents in 2020 recommending phased evaluation pathways, including contained laboratory studies, field cages, small-scale environmental releases with monitoring, and progressive expansion contingent on safety data. National regulatory authorities in target countries, including Burkina Faso, Uganda, and Mali, have developed assessment frameworks, but approval processes remain undefined. Ecological risk assessment protocols developed by regulatory science consortia recommend multi-generational monitoring of population dynamics, community composition, and phenotypic traits in release zones with 5–10 km buffer areas. Critical gaps include standardized efficacy endpoints, acceptable risk thresholds, and long-term stewardship responsibilities post-release.

CURRENT LIMITATIONS AND CHALLENGES

Drive Resistance and Evolutionary Stability

Empirical data increasingly demonstrate that resistance evolution represents the primary technical obstacle. Long-term cage experiments reveal resistance alleles emerging through imperfect homology-directed repair, with resistant individuals reaching 15–40% frequency by generation 25–35, even with multiplexed gRNA strategies [26]. Population genetic models indicate that once resistance exceeds 10–15%, drive momentum stalls and eventual elimination fails. Ongoing research explores targeting ultra-conserved haploinsufficient genes where any sequence modification causes lethality, though experiments show that approximately 5–15% of non-homologous end joining events generate in-frame deletions preserving partial function. Alternative approaches, including gene drive "vaccines" that spread resistance-resistant mutations, face similar evolutionary pressures. No current design demonstrates resistance-proof performance across 50+ generations under selection.

Technical and Operational Challenges

Mass-rearing production of gene drive mosquitoes requires facilities capable of generating millions of individuals weekly, substantially exceeding current capacity. Quality control, ensuring drive integrity, fitness competitiveness, and absence of unintended mutations, necessitates extensive genetic and phenotypic screening. Environmental release logistics, including transportation, timed release synchronized with wild population dynamics, and monitoring infrastructure, remain operationally undefined. Cost-effectiveness analyses suggest that gene drive interventions require $7 per person per year [27], competitive with current interventions, but these estimates involve substantial uncertainty regarding operational costs, monitoring expenses, and timeline to impact. Integration with existing malaria control programs requires coordination with insecticide-based interventions, potentially complicating resistance management if gene drive mosquitoes exhibit altered insecticide susceptibility.

Sociocultural and Ethical Considerations

Community acceptance represents a prerequisite for field deployment. Concerns center on unanticipated health effects, ecosystem disruption, and perceived loss of control over local environments. Ethical frameworks emphasize procedural justice requiring meaningful community participation in decision-making, distributive justice ensuring equitable benefit sharing, and respect for community autonomy, including rights to refuse technologies. The Target Malaria consortium has conducted extensive stakeholder engagement across Burkina Faso, Mali, and Uganda, though critics argue that genuine informed consent requires technical understanding potentially beyond community capacity [28]. Gender dimensions include recognition that women bear primary childcare burdens from malaria, yet are often excluded from village-level technology decisions.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RESEARCH PRIORITIES

Next-Generation Drive Architectures

Emerging molecular designs aim to address current limitations. Split-drive systems utilizing orthogonal Cas variants (Cas12a, Cas13) reduce off-target effects while maintaining homing efficiency [29]. Toxin-antidote systems where drive-bearing individuals express both a genetic toxin and a linked antidote achieve population transformation through negative frequency-dependent selection, potentially more resistant to evolutionary erosion. CRISPR-based precision drives edit rather than disrupt target genes, enabling fine-tuned phenotype modification. Synthetic promoters responsive to environmental cues (temperature, photoperiod) could enable seasonal activation synchronized with malaria transmission seasons. Multi-species drives targeting conserved sequences across Anopheles gambiae complex members could achieve broader geographic impact, though regulatory complexity escalates substantially.

Integration with Complementary Technologies

Synergistic approaches combining gene drive with other interventions may enhance overall impact. Sequential deployment, where initial gene drive suppression reduces population density, followed by insecticide-treated net intensification, could accelerate elimination. Combination strategies using both suppression drives (reducing population) and replacement drives (blocking transmission) provide redundancy against resistance evolution. Integration with Wolbachia-based cytoplasmic incompatibility could enhance the spread through reproductive manipulation [30]. Spatial targeting, focusing on persistent transmission hotspots while maintaining conventional control elsewhere, optimizes resource allocation. Modeling suggests that integrated vector management incorporating gene drives could reduce time-to-elimination from 30+ years to 10–15 years in high-transmission settings, though empirical validation remains absent.

Monitoring and Evaluation Frameworks

Rigorous field evaluation requires comprehensive monitoring systems. Molecular surveillance tracking drives allele frequencies, resistance mutations, and off-target effects through environmental DNA sampling and mosquito collection provides real-time genetic monitoring [31]. Ecological monitoring, assessing non-target species abundance, community composition, and ecosystem functions, detects environmental impacts. Entomological surveillance, measuring mosquito density, biting rates, and Plasmodium infection prevalence, quantifies epidemiological impact. Mathematical models fitted to monitoring data enable adaptive management, adjusting release strategies based on observed drive performance.

Capacity Building and Technology Transfer

Sustainable implementation requires local scientific capacity. Current efforts focus on establishing insectary infrastructure, training molecular biologists and ecological risk assessors, and developing regulatory expertise in target countries. South-South technology transfer partnerships could accelerate regional capacity development. Open-source genetic constructs and transparent data sharing promote accessibility, though intellectual property concerns persist regarding CRISPR licenses [32]. Long-term stewardship frameworks defining responsibilities for post-release monitoring, resistance management, and response to adverse events require establishment before initial releases. Equitable benefit-sharing mechanisms ensuring that technology development includes meaningful participation from endemic countries represent ethical imperatives.

CONCLUSION

Gene drive technology represents a potentially transformative innovation for malaria vector control, offering unprecedented capability to modify wild Anopheles populations at scale through engineered genetic inheritance bias. Current evidence from laboratory and cage studies demonstrates technical feasibility, with CRISPR-based drives achieving >95% transmission efficiency and population elimination within 10–20 generations through female sterility mechanisms or transmission blocking through anti-Plasmodium effector genes. However, substantial challenges temper immediate optimism. Drive resistance evolution through non-homologous end joining represents a fundamental biological constraint that may limit durability regardless of molecular refinements. Ecological risk assessment reveals limited evidence for catastrophic ecosystem disruption given the minor ecological role of Anopheles species, though long-term monitoring will be essential to detect subtle community shifts. Regulatory pathways remain fragmented across jurisdictions, and sociocultural acceptance requires genuine community engagement, transcending technical risk-benefit calculations to address concerns about autonomy, equity, and environmental stewardship. The technology is not field-ready for operational deployment but has advanced sufficiently to warrant carefully controlled small-scale environmental releases with comprehensive monitoring in geographically isolated settings. Such trials would generate critical data on field performance, resistance evolution under natural selection, and ecosystem interactions that cannot be predicted from laboratory studies. Success will require sustained multidisciplinary collaboration integrating molecular biology, ecological science, epidemiology, ethics, and community engagement within transparent governance frameworks that prioritize local decision-making authority. Regulatory authorities in malaria-endemic countries should establish transparent, science-based pathways for phased environmental evaluation of gene drive mosquitoes in geographically isolated field sites with comprehensive ecological monitoring, while simultaneously strengthening community engagement processes and regional coordination mechanisms to ensure equitable and socially acceptable deployment contingent on demonstrated safety and efficacy.

REFERENCES

1. Ogbonnia Egwu, C., Aloke, C., Chukwu, J., Agwu, A., Tsamesidis, I., E Offor, C., Ajuka Obasi, N., Aja, P.M.: A world free of malaria: It is time for Africa to actively champion and take leadership of elimination and eradication strategies. Afr Health Sci. 22, 627–640 (2022). https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v22i4.68
2. Tufail, T., Agu, P.C., Akinloye, D.I., Obaroh, I.O.: Malaria pervasiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa: Overcoming the scuffle. Medicine. 103, e40241 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000040241
3. Ainebyoona, C., Egwu, C.O., Onohuean, H., Ugwu, O.P.C., Uti, D.E., Echegu, D.A. Mitigation of Malaria in Sub-Saharan Africa through Vaccination: A Budding Road Map for Global Malaria Eradication (2025).

Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences, 2025; 35(3): 205-217. doi: 10.4314/ejhs.v35i3.9. PMID: 40717722; PMCID: PMC12287706.

4. Alum, E.U.: Career Advancement towards Nascent Technologies: RNA in Focus. IDOSR JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH. 9, 49–53 (2024). https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJSR/2024/9.2.4953.100
5. Abraham, I.C., Aboje, J.E., Ukoaka, B.M., Tom-Ayegunle, K., Amjad, M., Abdulkader, A., Agbo, C.E., Akinruli, O.A., Akisanmi, T.R., Oyetola, E.O., Olatunji, G., Kokori, E., Aderinto, N.: Integrating malaria vaccine and CRISPR/Cas9 gene drive: a comprehensive strategy for accelerated malaria eradication. Malaria Journal . 24, 1–7 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1186/S12936-025-05243-7/METRICS
6. Del Amo, V.L., Juste, S.S., Gantz, V.M.: A nickase Cas9 gene-drive system promotes super-Mendelian inheritance in Drosophila. Cell Rep. 39, 110843 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110843
7. Terradas, G., Bennett, J.B., Li, Z., Marshall, J.M., Bier, E.: Genetic conversion of a split-drive into a full-drive element. Nat Commun. 14, 1–10 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-022-35044-4;TECHMETA
8. Singh, S.K., Plieskatt, J., Chourasia, B.K., Singh, V., Bolscher, J.M., Dechering, K.J., Adu, B., López-Méndez, B., Kaviraj, S., Locke, E., Richter King, C., Theisen, M.: The Plasmodium falciparum circumsporozoite protein produced in Lactococcus lactis is pure and stable. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 295, 403–414 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1074/JBC.RA119.011268
9. Saab, S.A., Cardoso-Jaime, V., Kefi, M., Dimopoulos, G.: Advances in the dissection of Anopheles–Plasmodium interactions. PLoS Pathog. 21, e1012965 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PPAT.1012965
10. Attrill, E.L., Claydon, R., Łapińska, U., Recker, M., Meaden, S., Brown, A.T., Westra, E.R., Harding, S. V., Pagliara, S.: Individual bacteria in structured environments rely on phenotypic resistance to phage. PLoS Biol. 19, e3001406 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PBIO.3001406
11. Simoni, A., Hammond, A.M., Beaghton, A.K., Galizi, R., Taxiarchi, C., Kyrou, K., Meacci, D., Gribble, M., Morselli, G., Burt, A., Nolan, T., Crisanti, A.: A male-biased sex-distorter gene drive for the human malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. Nat Biotechnol. 38, 1054–1060 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/S41587-020-0508-1;SUBJMETA
12. Chen, W., Wang, Z., Champer, J.: Stronger population suppression by gene drive targeting doublesex from dominant female-sterile resistance alleles. bioRxiv. 2025.04.16.649134 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.04.16.649134
13. Hammond, A., Galizi, R., Kyrou, K., Simoni, A., Siniscalchi, C., Katsanos, D., Gribble, M., Baker, D., Marois, E., Russell, S., Burt, A., Windbichler, N., Crisanti, A., Nolan, T.: A CRISPR-Cas9 gene drive system targeting female reproduction in the malaria mosquito vector Anopheles gambiae. Nat Biotechnol. 34, 78–83 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/NBT.3439;SUBJMETA
14. Kyrou, K., Hammond, A.M., Galizi, R., Kranjc, N., Burt, A., Beaghton, A.K., Nolan, T., Crisanti, A.: A CRISPR–Cas9 gene drive targeting doublesex causes complete population suppression in caged Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes. Nat Biotechnol. 36, 1062–1071 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/NBT.4245;TECHMETA
15. Gantz, V.M., Jasinskiene, N., Tatarenkova, O., Fazekas, A., Macias, V.M., Bier, E., James, A.A.: Highly efficient Cas9-mediated gene drive for population modification of the malaria vector mosquito Anopheles stephensi. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 112, E6736–E6743 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1521077112
16. Leung, S., Windbichler, N., Wenger, E.A., Bever, C.A., Selvaraj, P.: Population replacement gene drive characteristics for malaria elimination in a range of seasonal transmission settings: a modelling study. Malar J. 21, 1–20 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/S12936-022-04242-2/FIGURES/9
17. Beeton, N.J., Wilkins, A., Ickowicz, A., Hayes, K.R., Hosack, G.R.: Spatial modelling for population replacement of mosquito vectors at continental scale. PLoS Comput Biol. 18, e1009526 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PCBI.1009526
18. Rose, N.H., Sylla, M., Badolo, A., Lutomiah, J., Ayala, D., Aribodor, O.B., Ibe, N., Akorli, J., Otoo, S., Mutebi, J.-P., Kriete, A.L., Ewing, E.G., Sang, R., Gloria-Soria, A., Powell, J.R., Baker, R.E., White, B.J., Crawford, J.E., McBride, C.S.: Climate and Urbanization Drive Mosquito Preference for Humans. Current Biology. 30, 3570-3579.e6 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.06.092
19. Raban, R.R., Marshall, J.M., Akbari, O.S.: Progress towards engineering gene drives for population control. Journal of Experimental Biology. 223, (2020). https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.208181
20. Bado, M.: Identification of gene candidates for the development of 'gene drive systems' in the mosquito vectors Anopheles gambiae and Aedes aegypti. (2025)
21. Vakulskas, C.A., Behlke, M.A.: Evaluation and Reduction of CRISPR Off-Target Cleavage Events. https://home.liebertpub.com/nat. 29, 167–174 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1089/NAT.2019.0790

22. Zischewski, J., Fischer, R., Bortesi, L.: Detection of on-target and off-target mutations generated by CRISPR/Cas9 and other sequence-specific nucleases. Biotechnol Adv. 35, 95–104 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOTECHADV.2016.12.003
23. Noble, C., Min, J., Olejarz, J., Buchthal, J., Chavez, A., Smidler, A.L., DeBenedictis, E.A., Church, G.M., Nowak, M.A., Esvelt, K.M.: Daisy-chain gene drives for the alteration of local populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 116, 8275–8282 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1716358116
24. Lai, X., Wolkenhauer, O., Vera, J.: Understanding microRNA-mediated gene regulatory networks through mathematical modelling. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, 6019–6035 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKW550
25. CARTAGENA PROTOCOL. (2000)
26. Chen, J., Hou, S., Feng, R., Xu, X., Liang, N., Champer, J.: A homing rescue gene drive with multiplexed gRNAs reaches high frequency in cage populations but generates functional resistance, (2023)
27. Metchanun, N., Borgemeister, C., Amzati, G., von Braun, J., Nikolov, M., Selvaraj, P., Gerardin, J.: Modeling impact and cost-effectiveness of driving-Y gene drives for malaria elimination in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Evol Appl. 15, 132 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1111/EVA.13331
28. Mezieobi, K.C., Ugwu, O.P.C., Uti, D.E., Egba, S.I., Ewah, C.M. Economic burden of malaria on developing countries: A mini review. Parasite Epidemiology and Control.30 (2025), e00435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parepi.2025.e00435
29. Zhou, J., Chen, P., Wang, H., Liu, H., Li, Y., Zhang, Y., Wu, Y., Paek, C., Sun, Z., Lei, J., Yin, L.: Cas12a variants designed for lower genome-wide off-target effect through stringent PAM recognition. Molecular Therapy. 30, 244–255 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2021.10.010
30. Li, J., Champer, J.: Harnessing Wolbachia cytoplasmic incompatibility alleles for confined gene drive: A modeling study. PLoS Genet. 19, e1010591 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1010591
31. Ibrahim, S.S., Muhammad, A., Hearn, J., Weedall, G.D., Nagi, S.C., Mukhtar, M.M., Fadel, A.N., Mugenzi, L.J., Patterson, E.I., Irving, H., Wondji, C.S.: Molecular drivers of insecticide resistance in the Sahelo-Sudanian populations of a major malaria vector Anopheles coluzzii. BMC Biol. 21, 125 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-023-01610-5
32. Rissberger, E.N.: The Future of Biotechnology: Accelerating Gene-Editing Advancements through Non-Exclusive Licenses and Open-Source Access of CRISPR-Cas9. Santa Clara High Technology Law Journal. 38, (2022)

CITE AS: Masika Anna Mahinda (2026). Gene Drive Technology for Anopheles Mosquito Population Control: Ecological Safety and Malaria Elimination Potential. IAA Journal of Biological Sciences 14(1):22-28. https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJB/2026/142228