

# Global Governance and HIV/AIDS Policy in East Africa: The Influence of International Organizations

Nasira A. Sitar

Department of Pharmacy Kampala International University Uganda  
Satar.nasira@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

---

## ABSTRACT

The HIV/AIDS epidemic has posed significant public health, social, and economic challenges in East Africa over the past three decades. International organizations—including UNAIDS, PEPFAR, the Global Fund, and the World Bank—have played a central role in shaping national HIV/AIDS policies by providing financial resources, technical guidance, normative frameworks, and programmatic support. Their interventions have facilitated rapid scale-up of antiretroviral therapy, prevention of mother-to-child transmission programs, and health system strengthening, contributing to substantial reductions in HIV-related morbidity and mortality. However, reliance on external funding has also introduced challenges, including program fragmentation, limited national ownership, inequities in service delivery, and vulnerability to funding fluctuations. This review examines the mechanisms through which international actors influence policy, the impacts on health systems and population outcomes, and the tensions between donor priorities and national strategies. It further explores policy recommendations to enhance sustainability, equity, and resilience, emphasizing domestic financing, integration into primary healthcare, regional cooperation, and community engagement. The study underscores the need to balance international support with national autonomy for a robust and equitable HIV/AIDS response in East Africa.

**Keywords:** HIV/AIDS, East Africa, global governance, international organizations, UNAIDS, PEPFAR,

---

## INTRODUCTION

The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) epidemic has been one of the most pressing public health challenges in East Africa over the past three decades. Countries in this region, including Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, Rwanda, and Burundi, have experienced high prevalence rates, with far-reaching socio-economic and health consequences [1]. Beyond its direct health impacts, HIV/AIDS has exacerbated poverty, strained healthcare systems, and disrupted social and economic development. Early in the epidemic, East African governments faced substantial challenges in mounting effective responses due to limited resources, weak health infrastructure, insufficient technical expertise, and competing public health priorities. In this context, global governance and the engagement of international organizations became pivotal in shaping national HIV/AIDS policy and interventions [2].

International organizations have historically played a central role in guiding, financing, and coordinating HIV/AIDS responses across East Africa. Key actors such as the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), the U.S. President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR), the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, the World Bank, and assorted United Nations country teams have exerted substantial influence on both policy formulation and program implementation [3]. Their involvement has not only provided financial resources but also technical expertise, normative guidance, and frameworks for monitoring and evaluation [4]. Through these interventions, East African countries have expanded access to antiretroviral therapy (ART), strengthened laboratory networks, increased HIV testing and counseling coverage, and developed strategic plans aligned with international best practices. However, this influence is not without challenges and complexities, as it raises questions about the balance between donor-driven priorities and national ownership of health agendas [5].

The global HIV/AIDS epidemic emerged in the early 1980s, and by the late 1980s and early 1990s, East Africa was recognized as one of the epicenters of the pandemic. The region's vulnerability stemmed from multiple factors, including high population mobility, limited access to healthcare services, socio-cultural practices that affected sexual behavior, and widespread poverty [6]. Inadequate surveillance systems, limited epidemiological data, and insufficient political commitment often hampered early national responses. Consequently, governments turned to international organizations for support in designing and implementing HIV/AIDS programs [7].

Global governance mechanisms emerged as a means to coordinate international efforts, standardize interventions, and ensure accountability in the allocation of resources. UNAIDS, established in 1996, provided a unified framework for coordinating the United Nations' response to HIV/AIDS and offered guidance on prevention, treatment, and care strategies [8]. Similarly, PEPFAR, launched in 2003, represented a major bilateral initiative that provided large-scale funding for ART, prevention of mother-to-child transmission, and health system strengthening. The Global Fund, as a multilateral financing institution, also contributed significantly by disbursing grants based on country-driven proposals, focusing on innovative interventions, and promoting performance-based funding mechanisms [9]. These organizations collectively shaped HIV/AIDS policy environments in East Africa, influencing national strategies, priority-setting, and the allocation of resources.

Despite notable successes in reducing HIV incidence and mortality in East Africa, challenges persist. International organizations, while providing essential resources and technical guidance, can inadvertently create dependency, limit national policy autonomy, and prioritize donor interests over local needs. Tensions often arise between externally funded initiatives and domestic health priorities, leading to fragmented programs, vertical interventions, and sustainability concerns [10]. For example, donor-funded projects may focus on high-visibility interventions such as ART rollout or HIV testing campaigns, while neglecting broader structural determinants such as poverty reduction, gender equity, and health system resilience. Additionally, fluctuations in international funding, geopolitical considerations, and global policy shifts can impact the continuity of HIV programs, leaving countries vulnerable to service disruptions. These issues highlight the need to critically examine how global governance influences HIV/AIDS policy and to identify pathways for improving policy coherence, sustainability, and equity in the region [11]. This study investigates the role of international organizations in shaping HIV/AIDS policy in East Africa, focusing on how global actors influence national strategies, program implementation, and health outcomes. Specifically, it examines the mechanisms through which organizations such as UNAIDS, PEPFAR, and the Global Fund exert influence, evaluates the impact of donor-driven interventions on health systems and service delivery, explores tensions between international priorities and national policy ownership, and identifies strategies to enhance the sustainability, effectiveness, and equity of HIV/AIDS programs. The research is guided by questions on the methods of influence, the effects of donor-funded programs on health outcomes, the challenges arising from interactions between international actors and national governments, and policy approaches that can strengthen local ownership while maintaining productive international partnerships. The significance of the study lies in its potential to provide a nuanced understanding of global governance in health, highlight the risks of over-reliance on external funding, and offer evidence-based recommendations for contextually appropriate, equitable, and sustainable HIV/AIDS interventions. By analyzing these dynamics, the study aims to inform policymakers, practitioners, and scholars on balancing international support with domestic priorities to improve health outcomes across East Africa.

### **How international organizations influence national HIV policy**

International organizations exert significant influence over national HIV policies in East Africa through multiple interconnected mechanisms. First, financing and conditionality play a central role: large-scale funding from donors underpins antiretroviral therapy (ART) programs and HIV prevention initiatives, while conditions attached to these funds often determine which interventions receive priority. Shifts or reductions in funding can rapidly disrupt service delivery, highlighting countries' dependence on external resources [12]. Second, technical guidance and normative frameworks provided by agencies such as UNAIDS and WHO shape national guidelines, promoting strategies like test-and-treat, treatment-as-prevention, and differentiated service delivery. While these frameworks encourage policy convergence, they may underemphasize local innovations or epidemiological contexts. Third, international organizations influence program design and implementation, often promoting vertical clinics, community ART groups, or public-private partnerships, sometimes delivered through NGOs or contractors [13]. This approach can strengthen service provision but may inadvertently limit state capacity in health service delivery. Fourth, data, targets, and accountability mechanisms, including global reporting frameworks aligned with Sustainable Development Goals, drive countries to prioritize measurable outcomes, enhancing monitoring but potentially skewing resources toward interventions that are easily quantified. Finally, political leverage and diplomacy enable donors to shape policies on sensitive issues, such as LGBTQ+ access, sex work programming, and reproductive health, which can generate tensions when international priorities conflict with domestic laws or social norms. Collectively, these mechanisms illustrate the complex interplay between donor influence and national policy-making, highlighting both opportunities for improved HIV responses and challenges for national ownership and sustainability [14].

### **Concrete impacts in East Africa**

International support has profoundly shaped HIV/AIDS outcomes in East Africa, with rapid scale-up of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) programs across Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, Rwanda, and Ethiopia. External funding and technical guidance have averted millions of AIDS-related deaths, improved retention, and promoted “treat all” approaches with simplified drug regimens [15]. Global programs have also invested in health system components such as laboratories, procurement, and data platforms, strengthening some aspects of service delivery. However, the focus on disease-specific outcomes often reinforced vertical programs without full integration into primary care, and gains tied to project-based funding proved fragile. Funding volatility remains a critical challenge, with abrupt donor freezes or retrenchments causing medicine stock-outs, service disruptions, and interrupted pediatric and PMTCT care, highlighting the risks of dependency [15]. Policy conditionalities, particularly around politically sensitive issues like sex work or LGBTQ+ health, have sometimes excluded marginalized populations, increasing inequities. These dynamics underscore the central governance tension between leveraging international expertise and financing while maintaining national ownership, alignment, and sustainability. While some governments have harmonized donor programs with national priorities and increased domestic financing, others remain heavily dependent on external resources. Regional governance mechanisms, including the East African Community and WHO regional offices, offer potential for cross-border coordination, pooled procurement, and surveillance harmonization, but their impact is uneven. Overall, while international involvement has saved lives and strengthened select systems, sustainability, equity, and national ownership remain ongoing challenges that require careful policy and governance strategies [16].

### **Policy recommendations for a more resilient, equitable response**

To build a more resilient and equitable HIV/AIDS response in East Africa, several strategic policy measures are critical. First, governments should prioritize sustainable domestic financing while leveraging blended finance models, combining national budget allocations with co-financing mechanisms from the Global Fund, World Bank, and private-sector partners. This approach reduces dependence on single donors and enhances long-term program stability [17]. Second, donor funding must be closely aligned with national strategic plans through on-budget support and pooled procurement, minimizing fragmentation, lowering costs, and strengthening health systems. Protecting services for marginalized populations is equally vital; international and national actors must ensure program designs do not exclude key populations, adopting harm-reduction and rights-based approaches to curb transmission among the most vulnerable groups. Additionally, investments should focus on integrated primary healthcare and resilient supply chains, including laboratories, workforce capacity, and logistics, ensuring that gains in HIV control are sustained beyond the duration of specific grants. Regional cooperation is also essential, with East African Community (EAC)-level or broader regional procurement and data-sharing platforms stabilizing drug supply and improving responses to cross-border transmission [18]. Finally, enhancing transparency and community accountability is crucial; civil society and affected communities must participate actively in planning, monitoring, and oversight. Their involvement strengthens targeting, acceptability, and adherence to programs while fostering trust and responsiveness. Collectively, these measures aim to create an HIV/AIDS response framework that is sustainable, inclusive, and resilient, balancing international support with national ownership and ensuring that vulnerable populations remain central to all interventions. By integrating financial sustainability, systemic strengthening, regional collaboration, and participatory governance, East Africa can move toward a more equitable and effective HIV response capable of withstanding both local and global challenges [19].

### **CONCLUSION**

The role of international organizations in shaping HIV/AIDS policy in East Africa has been both transformative and complex. Agencies such as UNAIDS, PEPFAR, the Global Fund, and the World Bank have provided essential financial resources, technical expertise, and normative frameworks that enabled countries in the region to scale up antiretroviral therapy, expand prevention programs, and strengthen select health system components. These contributions have saved millions of lives and advanced public health outcomes significantly. However, the reliance on external funding and donor-driven priorities has also introduced challenges, including program fragmentation, dependency, limited national ownership, and inequities in service delivery—particularly for marginalized populations. Sustainability remains a critical concern as shifts in global funding can disrupt services and compromise progress. To address these challenges, East African countries must pursue strategies that integrate sustainable domestic financing, align donor programs with national priorities, invest in resilient health systems, enhance regional coordination, and strengthen community participation. Balancing international support with national autonomy and equity is essential for a sustainable, inclusive, and resilient HIV/AIDS response across the region.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Madu, C.V., Alum, E.U., Aloh, H.E., Ugwu, O.P.C., Obeagu, E.I., Uti, D.E., Egba, S.I., et al. The price of progress: Assessing the financial costs of HIV/AIDS management in East Africa. *Medicine* (Baltimore). 2025 May 2;104(18):e42300. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000042300. PMID: 40324279; PMCID: PMC12055164.

2. Kumah, E., Boakye, D.S., Boateng, R., Agyei, E.: Advancing the Global Fight Against HIV/Aids: Strategies, Barriers, and the Road to Eradication | *Annals of Global Health*. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.5334/aogh.4277>
3. Adepoju A. O, Amusa M. O, Alum E U, Obeagu E I, Ugwu O P C, Samson A.O (2023). Inclusion of nutritional counseling and mental health services in HIV/AIDS management A paradigm shift. *Medicine® 102(41) e35673* *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2023;102(41):e35673. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000035673>. PMID: 37832059
4. Santos, A.M., Coad, A.: Monitoring and evaluation of transformative innovation policy: Suggestions for Improvement. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*. 90, 101714 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2023.101714>
5. Uti, D. E., Ugwu, O. P., Alum, B. N. Toward a cure - Advancing HIV/AIDs treatment modalities beyond antiretroviral therapy: A Review. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2024 Jul 5;103(27):e38768. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000038768. PMID: 38968496.
6. Agwu E, Pazos V, Ihongbe J. C, Ssengendo J (2011). Appraisal of the inherent socio-demographic dynamics of HIV/AIDS epidemic in four districts of South-Western Uganda. *SAHARA-J: Journal of Social Aspects of HIV/AIDS*, 8, (3), 150-155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17290376.2011.972497>.
7. Agwu E, Ihongbe J. C, Ezeonwumelu J. O, Moazzam M, Lodhi M. M(2015). Baseline burden and antimicrobial susceptibility of pathogenic bacteria recovered from oral lesions of patients with HIV/AIDS in South-Western Uganda. *Oral Science International*, 12, (2), 59-66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1348-8643\(15\)00018-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1348-8643(15)00018-X)
8. Alum E U, Obeagu E I, Ugwu O P C, Egba S I, Ejim Uti D E, Ukaidi C U A, Echegu D A. Confronting Dual Challenges: Substance Abuse and HIV/AIDS. *Elite Journal of HIV*, 2024; 2(5): 1-8. <https://epjournals.com/journals/EJHIV>
9. Garnett, G.P.: Reductions in HIV incidence are likely to increase the importance of key population programmes for HIV control in sub-Saharan Africa. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 24, e25727 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25727>
10. Aja, P. M., Okon, M. B., Ugwu, O. P. C., Obeagu, E. I., Uti, D. E. Reducing HIV Infection Rate in Women: A Catalyst to reducing HIV Infection pervasiveness in Africa. *International Journal of Innovative and Applied Research*. 2023; 11(10):01-06. DOI: 10.58538/IJAR/2048. <http://dx.doi.org/10.58538/IJAR/2048>
11. Ekele, D.O., Boniface, I., Ukoaka, B.M., Toyo, O., Akpan, U., Nwanja, E., et al.: Transitioning a large-scale HIV/AIDS treatment program from an international partner to a local Nigerian implementing partner: a before-after early outcomes assessment study. *BMC Health Services Research*. 25, 1259 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-025-13386-1>
12. Okoroïwu H. U., Umoh E. A, Asanga E. E, Edet U. O, Atim-Ebim M. R, Tangban E. A, et al (2022). Thirty-five years (1986–2021) of HIV/AIDS in Nigeria: bibliometric and scoping analysis. *AIDS Research and Therapy*, 19, (1), 64.
13. Ayoub, P.M., Rainer, E.: Promoting LGBTI rights in foreign policy: strategies for action while avoiding backlash. *Politics, Groups, and Identities*. 0, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21565503.2025.2543302>
14. Hope O, Eseohé F O, Stella G A, Bright E I, Benson C I, Ezera A (2023). Meta-synthesis of research dynamics on HIV/AIDs related pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP): Africa perspective. *Journal of Medicine Surgery and Public Health*, 100010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glmedi.2023.100010>
15. Ogayemi, C., Filani, O.M., Osho, G.O.: A Cross-Border Pharmaceutical Operations Framework for Regulatory Compliance and Supply Chain Resilience in Sub-Saharan Africa | *Engineering And Technology Journal*.
16. Ezenwaji, C.O., Alum, E.U. & Ugwu, O.P.C. Bridging the gap: telemedicine as a solution for HIV care inequities in rural and vulnerable communities. *Int J Equity Health* 24, 205 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-025-02584-2>
17. Mugisha S, Agwu E (2015). Cross-border movement of people and its effect on the Spread of HIV/AIDS in Kisoro district south western Uganda. *Special viral Pathogens Journal*, 1, (1), 0026-0037
18. Phaswana-Mafuya, R.N., Phalane, E., Sisel, H., Motsieloa, L., Journeay, K., Dubula, V., Sibeko, J., Ramothwala, P.: Country ownership and sustainable programming of the HIV response in South Africa: A scoping review. *South Afr J HIV Med*. 24, 1511 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhivmed.v24i1.1511>

**CITE AS: Nasira A. Sitar (2026). Global Governance and HIV/AIDS Policy in East Africa: The Influence of International Organizations. *IAA Journal of Biological Sciences* 14(1):36-39. <https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJB/2026/1413639>**